Every three years, the Higher Education Research Institute at UCLA conducts a national survey of faculty members to measure their activities, satisfaction, and perceptions about their roles. GVSU faculty have participated in every edition of the survey in since its creation in 1989. What follows is a synopsis of areas in which GVSU faculty members' responses were significantly different (on average) from those of faculty at comparable participating universities*.

Invitations to take the online survey were sent to all benefit-eligible GVSU faculty during the Winter 2017 semester. Of 1,195 invitees, 477 (40%) responded. Because participation is voluntary, the GVSU respondent population is not representative of the full GVSU faculty. In particular, tenured and tenure-track faculty members are over-represented, as are white and female faculty members. The Seidman College of Business is under-represented among respondents, while Kirkhof College of Nursing is over-represented.

Following are some broad themes that I perceive among the survey items where GVSU responses were statistically significantly different from peer responses. Unless they are expressed as percentages, the values given for GVSU and peer responses are means of ordinal survey scales, which makes them difficult to interpret at face value. However, an effect size is listed for each comparison, which can be interpreted as follows:

Interpreting Effect sizes

Values expressed as:	Ordinal Mean	Percentage		
	(e.g. 2.41)	(e.g. 29.2%)		
Effect Size Statistic	d	φ		
Interpreting the sign				
Positive value	GVSU value > Peer value			
Negative value	GVSU value < Peer value			
Rough cutpoints for size				
Small difference	0.20	0.10		
Medium difference	0.50	0.30		
Large difference	0.80	0.50		

All comparisons shown are statistically significant differences (α =0.05). The number listed under "location" is the row number for the detailed data on sheet 1A of the "profile" spreadsheet. Readers are encouraged to consult the spreadsheet to see (a) the exact text of questions, (b) the response scales (c) the distribution of ordinal responses, and (d) disaggregated data by sex for all items on the questionnaire.

Satisfaction: GVSU respondents express significantly more satisfaction than peers with regard to the following:

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
How satisfied are you with				
Salary	2.74	2.41	0.37	1844
Health benefits	3.05	2.99	0.15	1853
Retirement benefits	3.10	2.99	0.13	1862
Opportunity for scholarly pursuits	2.73	2.57	0.18	1871
Teaching load	2.84	2.52	0.36	1880
Quality of students	2.84	2.72	0.15	1889
Autonomy and independence	3.34	3.24	0.13	1898
Institutional support for work-life balance	2.71	2.59	0.13	1925
Relative equity of salary and job benefits	2.54	2.28	0.28	1943
If you were to begin your career again, would	4.31	4.15	0.16	2148
you still want to come to this institution?				

There were two areas where GVSU respondents were less satisfied than their peers:

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
How satisfied are you with				
Leave policies (e.g., paternity/maternity	2.73	2.89	-0.19	1961
leave, caring for a family member, stopping				
the tenure clock)				
Availability of child care at this institution	1.87	2.20	-0.25	2329

Pedagogy: GVSU respondents indicated less use of a variety of learning activities than peers:

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location	
In your interactions with undergraduates, how o	ften do you	encourage	them to:		
support opinions with a logical argument	2.74	2.80	-0.14	937	
evaluate the quality or reliability of	2.62	2.69	-0.13	961	
information they receive					
recognize biases that affect their thinking	2.40	2.50	-0.16	1009	
How often do you give least one assignment requiring students to:					
write in the specific style or format of your	2.50	2.58	-0.13	1017	
discipline					
describe how different perspectives would	2.26	2.35	-0.13	1025	
affect the interpretation of a question or issue					
in your discipline					
discuss the ethical or moral implications of a	2.19	2.28	-0.12	1033	
course of action					
How many of your courses include					
experiential learning / field studies	2.11 [†]	2.26 [†]	-0.14	1067	
performances / demonstrations	2.12 [†]	2.28 [†]	-0.14	1076	

GVSU respondents indicated more use of "Cooperative learning (small groups)" (GVSU: 3.36; Peers: 3.21; E.S. = 0.16; location: 1058). They also report spending more time each week on "Preparing for teaching (including reading student papers and grading)" (GVSU: 4.57^{+} ; Peers: 4.37^{+} ; E.S. = 0.13; location: 797).

Relationships with Administration: There are several areas where GVSU respondents indicate better relationships with administration or less administrative burden than peer faculty members did.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
Time spent: Committee work and meetings	2.55 [†]	2.70 [†]	-0.13	821
This institution has effective hiring practices and policies that increase faculty diversity	3.04	2.94	0.13	1413
The faculty are typically at odds with campus administration	2.16	2.39	-0.27	1476
Perceived priority: Hiring faculty "stars"	1.55	1.70	-0.18	1629
Source of stress: Institutional procedures and "red tape"	1.83	1.94	-0.15	2051
Source of stress: Job security	1.33	1.42	-0.14	2075
Source of stress: Institutional budget cuts	1.46	1.80	-0.47	2099
Satisfaction: Autonomy and independence	3.34	3.24	0.13	1898

GVSU respondents were also less likely than peers to say that committee work is a source of stress to an "extensive" degree (GVSU: 6.9%; Peers 14.6%; ϕ = -0.10; location: 2019).

Support for Faculty: GVSU respondents give mixed messages about how well they are supported in and valued for their work:

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
My research is valued by faculty in my	2.96	3.07	-0.13	1440
department				
My service is valued by faculty in my	3.20	3.31	-0.13	1458
department				
There is adequate support for faculty	3.06	2.89	0.20	1530
development				
This institution takes mentoring into	2.53	2.39	0.16	1548
consideration in the promotion process				
Source of stress: Teaching load	1.33	1.42	-0.14	2059
Source of stress: Increased work	1.88	1.98	-0.14	2091
responsibilities				

Goals of Undergraduate Education: GVSU respondents were less enthusiastic than peers about several broad goals for undergraduate education. None of the goals that were included in the survey seemed to particularly resonate among GVSU respondents.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location		
Importance to you of the following goals for und	Importance to you of the following goals for undergraduate students:					
Encourage students to become agents of	3.05	3.16	-0.13	1269		
social change						
Prepare students for graduate or advanced	3.42	3.51	-0.15	1287		
education						
enhance students' knowledge and	3.12	3.26	-0.17	1323		
appreciation for other racial/ethnic groups						
The chief benefit of a college education is that	2.49	2.62	-0.15	1350		
it increases one's earning power						
Institutional Priority: Increase or maintain	3.14	3.02	0.17	1584		
institutional affordability						

Advising: On average, GVSU respondents reported less engagement in advising activities than peers did.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
Time spent: Advising or counseling of students	2.25 [†]	2.40 [†]	-0.16	809
Discussed academic performance with	2.37	2.49	-0.20	588
undergraduate advisees				
Helped undergraduate advisees to plan course	2.59	2.67	-0.15	580
of study				
Amount I mentor undergraduate students	3.78	3.93	-0.14	1767
Amount I mentor graduate students	2.02	2.27	-0.18	1777

Research and Research Funding: GVSU respondents report less research activity and perceive a lower priority for research than peers.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
Personal importance of research	2.90	3.07	-0.20	259
Received funding for your work from state or	13.4%	24.0%	φ =-0.10	508
federal government				
My research is valued by faculty in my	2.96	3.07	-0.13	1440
department				
Perceived priority: Pursue extramural funding	2.22	2.36	-0.16	1692

However, GVSU faculty indicate above-average satisfaction with their opportunities for scholarly pursuit (GVSU: 2.73; Peers: 2.57; E.S. = 0.18; location: 1871).

Diversity: GVSU respondents seem relatively satisfied with the university's efforts toward personnel diversity and equity, but give some indications that they're less focused than peers on encouraging students' understanding of diverse viewpoints.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
It's important to enhance students' knowledge	3.12	3.26	-0.17	1323
and appreciation for other racial/ethnic				
groups				
This institution has effective hiring practices	3.04	2.94	0.12	1413
and policies that increase faculty diversity				
Satisfaction: Relative equity of salary and job	2.54	2.28	0.28	1943
benefits				
Require students to describe how different	2.26	2.35	-0.13	1025
perspectives would affect the interpretation of				
a question or issue in your discipline				

GVSU faculty were also significantly less likely than peers to identify the promotion of gender diversity in the faculty and administration as a "low" priority at their institution (GVSU: 4.5%; Peers: 12.1%; E.S. $\phi = -0.09$; location: 1656).

Scholarly Productivity: Respondents from GVSU reported fewer publications and performances in some of the survey's categories.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
How many of the following have you published?				
Articles in academic or professional journals	2.95 [†]	3.17 [†]	-0.14	662
other, such as patents or computer software	1.24 [†]	1.35 [†]	-0.12	698
products				
In the past three years, how many exhibitions	1.34 [†]	1.48 [†]	-0.11	710
or performances in the fine or applied arts				
have you presented?				

Quality of Students: Compared to peers, GVSU respondents express higher regard for the capabilities of their students.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
Most of the students I teach lack the basic	2.13	2.26	-0.16	1521
skills for college-level work				
Satisfaction: Quality of students	2.84	2.72	0.15	1889

Community engagement: GVSU respondents express relatively weak personal and institutional commitment to students' involvement in the community.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
It's important to me to encourage students to	3.05	3.16	-0.13	1269
become agents of social change				
Institutional priority: Facilitate student	2.44	2.55	-0.13	1602
involvement in community service				

Online Instruction: GVSU respondents indicate less engagement in online education than peers.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
Taught an exclusively online course in last 2	13.4%	38.1%	φ=-0.20	526
years				
Use of online discussion boards in your	1.72	1.83	-0.14	1253
courses				

Curriculum Development: GVSU respondents reported less involvement in and support toward curriculum development.

	GVSU	Peers	Effect Size	Location
Participated in the development of curriculum (enhancing an existing course or creating a new course)	83.6%	90.3%	φ=-0.08	535
Received incentives to develop new courses	10.7%	18.7%	φ=-0.08	647

^{*} The peer group includes 1,877 respondents from participating public 4-year colleges with high selectivity: Georgia College & State University, Southeast Missouri State University, Weber State University, Western Washington University, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, University of Michigan-Flint, Ramapo College of New Jersey

[†] This is an ordinal mean, not a direct measure of hours/articles/courses/etc.