Grand Valley State University

General Education Committee Meeting

167 Lake Ontario Hall Minutes of 11/13/2017

PRESENT: Hsiao-Ping Chen; Dori Danko; Dawn DeVries; Gabriele Gottlieb, Chair; Kimberly Lohr; Kimberly McKee; Keith Oliver; Peter Riemersma; Lindy Scripps-

Hoekstra; Patrick Thorpe; David Vessey

ALSO PRESENT: C. "Griff" Griffin, Director, General Education; Jennifer Cathey, General Education Office Coordinator

NOT PRESENT: Brian Bowdle; Brian Drake; Mark Gleason; Linda Pickett; Huihui Qi;

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Taken
Approval of current Agenda	No discussion.	Approved per
		consensus
Approval of 10/23 and	No discussion.	Approved per
11/6/2017 Minutes		consensus
Discussion of Data for	Changes have been made to the graphs to show all students for the content outcomes. Colors have	
Workshops	been changed to be more distinct. We moved faculty from a relative scale to an absolute scale for	
	the 13-16 assessment cycle. It could have caused problems with assessment. The first workshop is	
	tomorrow and there are only four people registered. There are only two people registered for the	
	Mathematical Sciences workshop. Chair believes that more faculty will attend the skills workshops	
	since those are a challenge for faculty.	
Curriculum items for	CIS 101	D. Vessey moved to
consideration	Members agreed that the amendments were addressed adequately.	approve. P. Thorpe
CIS 101 (Log# 10013)		2 nd . Motion carries
amendment return		9-0.
HNR 250, 251, 283, 284		
Latin America Sequence	HNR – Latin America Sequence	
amendment return	Chair met with the proposer to discuss the necessary changes. HNR 250/251 had only one SoR	K. McKee moved to
	because the instructors viewed it as one course. They have corrected that by making another SoR.	approve HNR 251
	Member is concerned that ethical reasoning is not being taught and assessed adequately. Member	and 284. P. Thorpe
	feels that it is not clear how sources will be evaluated. There are two video projects in the sequence	2 nd . Motion carries
	that are not discussed but would fit well into information literacy. Member stated that information	9-0.
	regarding how the courses are taught and assessed are the same from course to course but should	

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Taken
	differ some to offer better explanations. Member asked if students are receiving the same detailed	K. McKee moved to
	instructions for writing that students get in WRT 150. Member wants to know how are they are	ask for amendments
	going to teach students to evaluate the quality of information.	to HNR 250 and 283
	Amondments LIND 202 need a better evalenation for how information literacy and othical	as discussed. P.
	Amendments – HNR 283 – need a better explanation for how information literacy and ethical reasoning will be taught and assessed. Need a better explanation of information literacy in HNR	Thorpe 2 nd . Motion
	250. Explain how you are going to teach students to evaluate the quality of information.	carries 9-0.
Review of	Members went back to the discussion on possible changes to the Foundations requirements and	
Foundations/Interdisciplinary	possibly adding an interdisciplinary requirement. Member designed a sample interdisciplinary	
Category	course that could count for a science course. Member feels that if the committee saw a proposal	
	like this sample, we probably would not approve it as a science course. Chair feels that if we give	
	students foundational credit for an interdisciplinary course that it devalues the category. Director	
	asked the committee if they are ok with allowing students to use one interdisciplinary course as a	
	replacement for one foundational category. Member stated that in the professional schools, the	
	accrediting bodies require they have inter-professional courses where people from other disciplines come in and teach from their perspective. Member suggested having something like honors, where	
	there would be a sequence of courses that could fulfill foundations in an interdisciplinary way.	
	Member suggested running a pilot program for an interdisciplinary category. Member asked how	
	other schools define interdisciplinary because it is hard to tell how some of their courses are	
	interdisciplinary. Director stated that many of our peers have a first-year seminar. Member believes	
	that Issues should be interdisciplinary, not Foundations. Member believes that exposing students to	
	interdisciplinary courses will help them discover interests they didn't know they had. Chair believes	
	that interdisciplinary will take students out of the foundation courses. Member stated that if	
	students have more exposures to a variety of Foundations in an interdisciplinary course than it	
	could boost enrollments/majors for departments. Member stated that a first-year seminar is a	
	course that teaches students from an interdisciplinary perspective on a broad topic of interest to	
	students. Members agreed that there is not a good way to make an interdisciplinary requirement	
	credit neutral. Member stated that we could designate some courses as interdisciplinary and	
	require students to take at least one course with an interdisciplinary designation, like SWS. Member	
	believes that having oral communication is more critical then adding an interdisciplinary category.	
	The foundations were last changed in 2001.	
	After many lengthy discussions, members believe interdisciplinary is an important part of the Liberal	
	Arts program and looked at our peer institutions and what they are doing, however, they do not	
	believe they can implement this in our program in an effective, credit neutral way.	

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Taken
Chair's Report	None.	
Director's Report	Director would like people to host content workshops in the Winter. Chair is not available on	
	Thursday. Workshops will be 1 hour	
	LS – Pat – Wednesday Art – Hsiao – Wednesday – 11-12 SBS – Brian B.? PS – Peter and Keith - Wednesday – 11 a.m. WP – Kim – Wednesday – 1 p.m. (March 14 or 21) WRT – Kim L – Tuesday – 2:30 p.m. Issues – Dawn – Tuesday – 2:30 p.m.	
	Director shared the PowerPoint for the upcoming assessment data workshops. GE Office will take video of the introduction portion and notes on the Q&A and feedback. We may have to enter our assessment information in GV assess. Director will meet with Chris Plouff to learn more.	
Adjournment		4:07pm