

Grand Valley State University

University Academic Senate #8

February 20, 2004

Present: Majd Al-Mallah, John Bender, Yatin, Bhagwat, Cheryl Boudreaux, Agnes Britton, Lynere Cuddy (student), Gayle Davis (ex officio), Kurt Fanning, Rob Franciosi (vice chair), Steve Glass, Richard Hall, Robert Hendersen, William Hosterman, Cindy Hull, Hugh Jack, Harold Larson, Paul Leidig, George Lundskow, Neil MacDonald, Jessica McAuliffe (student), Marie McKendall, Kristine Mullendore, Jean Nagelkerk (chair), Alex Nesterenko, Steve Nizielski, Karen Novotny (for Ross Reynolds), John Peck, Lisa Rigsby, Bill Rogers, Barbara Roos, Ben Rudolph, Ellen Schendel, John Seip (student), Paul Stephenson, Ted Sundstrom, Andrew Topper, Rachael Voorhees (student), Wendy Wenner (ex officio), Don Williams, Roger Wilson (for Jay Cooper), Renee Zettle-Sterling (for Mark Pestana)

Guests: Paul Plotkowski, Pat Underwood

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action / Decisions
1. Approval of Agenda	The agenda of February 20, 2004 was reviewed.	The agenda was approved.
2. Approval of Minutes	The minutes of November 21, 2003 were reviewed.	The minutes of November 21, 2003 were approved, as submitted.
3. Report of Chair	<p>The minutes of January 16, 2004 were reviewed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A luncheon with Senators and Board of Trustees members will be held on April 22, 2004. Further information and invitations will be distributed. b. The Research & Development Center Initiative will be reviewed and reintiated. c. A Program Statement for the Library is being prepared by the Faculty Library Planning Task Force. d. The Final Plan for a Graduate Council has been distributed for review. 	<p>The minutes of January 16, 2004 were approved, as submitted.</p> <p>Send recommendations and/or comments to Chair and Dean Kimboko.</p>
4. Report of Provost	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. GVSU agreed to Governor Granholm's tuition restraint proposal to limit tuition increases to 2.3%. b. No layoffs are anticipated; GVSU is continuing to increase number of Tenure/Tenure Track faculty lines; however, eight lines (out of 28) were pulled after collaboration with deans. c. Candidates for the Dean of Honors College have been interviewed; the search committee for the CLAS Dean has met and an advertisement placed, with 9 applications received so far. Names of Interim Associate Deans are expected to be announced in March. Preliminary interviews have been conducted with eight Seidman Dean candidates. 	

<p>5. Report of Student Senate President</p>	<p>a. The Student Senate passed a resolution unanimously supporting the Governor's tuition plan, and thanks GVSU Administration for not raising tuition. b. Various seminars and forums are planned, with topics to include terrorism, tuition funding, censorship of courses and mobilizing youth for voting.</p>	
<p>6. Report of Standing Committee Chairs</p>	<p>No Reports</p>	<p>No Discussion</p>
<p>7. Old Business</p>	<p>a. Bylaws and Guidelines to Senate Practice.</p>	<p>No Discussion</p>
<p>8. New Business</p>	<p>a. Reorganization</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Transition ii. <u>Faculty Governance Representation</u> Chair presented overview of reorganization as background. ECS has discussed and reviewed several representation voting schemes. Principles that guided the ECS discussion included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terms for representatives would be staggered to help ensure stability. • ECS members would also be UAS senators for the duration of their term. • Provisions were made for governance representation as new colleges are established. • Student representation would be maintained. • Continue having administrative representation serve in an ex-officio capacity. • ECS membership scheme was based upon a 50% principle between CLAS and the professional colleges. <p>Input was received from each Standing Committee as to the preferred representation model. ECS developed a "standard model" representation scheme for standing committees that did not develop their own; the standing committee scheme is the same as the ECS model.</p> <p>Once UAS establishes the governance representation for UAS, ECS, and the Standing Committees, each College will have one month to hold elections. Secretarial support is available from the current divisions and autonomous schools.</p> 	<p>No Discussion</p>

	<p><u>UAS Representation</u> The representation scheme developed at ECS follows the current UAS representation model. Changes were noted. Discussion.</p> <p>A recommendation was presented to split and vote on the proposed model in two areas; "Formula for membership" and "All language <i>except</i> for formulation of membership"</p> <p>It was proposed that UAS approves the language in the representation model for the University Academic Senate, as developed by ECS.</p> <p>It was proposed that UAS approves the formula for membership for the University Academic, as developed by ECS.</p> <p>Discussion on language for Student Senate representation.</p> <p><u>ECS Representation</u> The newly developed ECS representation scheme was outlined. Discussion: Several clarifications suggested, such as adding "two from each of the other three clusters" and including a provision that this representation scheme distribution for CLAS is for a one year term only.</p> <p>Don Williams moved that CLAS have six (6) representatives and all other Colleges have one (1) representative, 1 student representative, and 1 Library representative. It was moved to table further discussion.</p>	<p>MOTION: UAS approves the language in the representation model for the University Academic Senate, as developed by ECS. APPROVED: 39 yes; 0 opposed</p> <p>MOTION: UAS approves the formula for membership for the University Academic, as developed by ECS. APPROVED: 28 yes 11 No</p> <p>The Student Senate will forward language for UAS representation to Chair.</p> <p>MOTION: UAS recommends if the number of representatives from professional colleges increases, the number of representatives from CLAS will increase to match this number, based on a 50% principle.</p> <p>MOTION: TABLE FURTHER DISCUSSION. Approved by majority</p>
--	---	--

	iii. Personnel Issues iv. Other Issues	No Discussion No Discussion	
9. Adjournment	The meeting adjourned at 5:06 p.m.	The next UAS meeting is scheduled for 2/27/04, replacing the ECS meeting. Location to be announced.	

From: Lisa Haight
To: 0304 ECS; UAS 0304
Subject: February 6 UAS Meeting Cancelled

Hi Everyone -

The UAS meeting for this week Friday, February 6, 2004 has been cancelled.

Would you kindly hold Friday, February 20, 2004 from 3:00pm to 5:00pm on your calendar as a tentative date for the next UAS meeting. Thank you.

CC: Albrecht, Mary; Stratton, Linda