

Grand Valley State University

Executive Committee of the Senate

February 11, 2005

PRESENT: Yatin Bhagwat, Jay Cooper, Gayle Davis (ex officio), Rob Franciosi (vice chair), James Goode (for Kathleen Underwood), Linda Goossen (for John Peck), Richard Hall, William Hosterman, Jon Jellema (ex officio), Paul Leidig, Kristine Mullendore, Jean Nagelkerk (chair), Steve Nizielski (for John Bender), Karen Novotny, Jonathan Osborn (student), Charles Pazdernik (for Ellen Schendel), Lisa Rigsby, William Rogers

GUESTS: Teresa Beck, Tom Butcher, Elaine Schott, Wendy Wenner

| Agenda Items | Discussion | Action / Decisions |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Approval of Agenda | The agenda of February 11, 2005 was reviewed. | The agenda of February 11, 2005 was approved |
| 2. Minutes approval | The minutes of February 4, 2005 were reviewed. | The minutes of February 4, 2005 were approved, as amended. |
| 3. Report of Chair | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Chair Nagelkerk reported that she met with Catherine Frerichs, Director of the Pew Faculty Teaching and Learning Center, to discuss the faculty governance role in reclaiming a Liberal Education Initiative. b) The Chair reported that the Faculty Governance report to the Board of Trustees was well received and the luncheon with UAS representatives went very well. c) The Chair announced that the Chemistry program received a Dryfeus grant and the Center for Excellence in Science Education received a grant from the National Science Foundation. d) The Chair reported that a faculty member expressed concern that the FPPC decision on establishing university-wide personnel committees was overturned by ECS. | FPPC will discuss this issue on 2/16/05. |
| 4. Report of Provost | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Provost Davis reported that the interviews for the Library Dean were Friday and Saturday. b) The Provost announced that the state appropriations to GVSU may be cut by 1.2 million dollars. She also reported that her office is careful reviewing any hiring decisions or expenditures. | |
| 5. Report of Student Senate | a) Jonathon Osborn announced new initiatives to be implemented in | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>recommendation to have only tenured faculty serve at the College Personnel level.</p> <p><u>Unit Personnel Discussions</u> Three options arose for unit personnel committee representation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) only tenured faculty may serve, 2) tenured/tenured track and tenured faculty may serve 3) faculty may vote only after they have been through one personnel review. 4) New Faculty may decide whether or not to vote <p><u>Dean's Role at the College Personnel Level</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The FPCC Recommendation #3 was discussed. A concern was expressed that the Dean has too much influence to be considered a regular ex officio member. A suggestion was made to have the committee as a whole or a selected representative meet with the dean to update them on the College Personnel Committee actions taken. <p>A <u>motion</u> was made to give the College Personnel Committee the option of inviting deans to attend the meetings on a case-by-case basis for information only, with no voting privileges. Discussion.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. A <u>motion</u> was made to providing the option for Deans to request an invitation to the College Personnel Committee. The College Personnel Committee will grant or deny the Dean's request. Discussion. 3. A <u>motion</u> was made to require each College Personnel Committee to have a debriefing session with the Dean when a personnel decision has been made in committee. Discussion. | <p>the College Personnel Committee.</p> <p>The majority of senators voiced their opinion that option 3 was preferred</p> <p>MOTION: ECS recommends giving the College Personnel Committee the option of inviting deans to attend the meetings on a case-by-case basis for information only, with no voting privileges. APPROVED: Unanimously</p> <p>MOTION: ECS recommends providing the option for Deans to request an invitation to the College Personnel Committee. The College Personnel Committee will grant or deny the Dean's request. APPROVED: Unanimously</p> <p>MOTION: ECS recommends that each College Personnel Committee require that the Dean of the College is debriefed when a personnel decision has been made in committee.</p> |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | <p>d) <u>Honors Advisory Committee</u></p> <p>e) <u>CJII College Personnel Process and Structure</u> Wendy Wenner, Dean of CJII, presented an overview of the personnel process developed for use with the College of University-wide Interdisciplinary Initiatives. Discussion.</p> <p>A motion was made to accept the College of University-wide Interdisciplinary Initiatives College Personnel Process and Structure as amended at this meeting, and send to UAS for their approval. Discussion.</p> | <p>APPROVED: By consensus No Discussion</p> <p>MOTION: ECS accepts the College of University-wide Interdisciplinary Initiatives College Personnel Process and Structure as amended at this meeting, and send to UAS for their approval. APPROVED: Unanimously</p> <p>No Discussion</p> <p>No Discussion</p> |
| <p>8. Ideas for Future Fora</p> | <p>f) <u>APSC Recommendation</u></p> <p>g) <u>Prospectus for Education Specialist</u> Workload equity and enlarging regular faculty The "Next Level." What is it? Graduate versus Undergraduate Programs</p> | <p>No Discussion</p> |
| <p>9. Adjournment</p> | <p>The meeting adjourned at 5:08pm</p> | |