## Capstone: Advanced General

PSY 492 - Winter 2018
Prof. Katie Corker
E-mail: corkerka@gysu.edu

Section 09, T/Th 8:30-9:45 am, Au Sable 2119

Office Hours: T/Th 10:00am-11:00am & 2:30-3:30pm (Allendale)

Downtown by appointment

Appointments during Office Hours:

https://katiecorker.youcanbook.me/

Appointments at other times (email to request)

Office Location: 2128 Au Sable Hall

Office Phone: (616) 331-2932 (but email is recommended)

#### I. Course Overview

How do psychologists determine what is true and what is false about human behavior, affect, and cognition? The question encompasses more than we can know from a single study or even a single research paper, and the issues run deeper than just research methods. Instead, we need to consider what it means to conduct and understand science. We must consider why scientists, as humans themselves, can fall prey to biases and fallacies that interfere with their ability to draw sound conclusions.

This course focuses on an emerging field known as meta-science (i.e., the study of the process of science itself) in the context of research in psychology. We begin by considering whether and if the research practices of psychologists need to change. We touch briefly on philosophy of science and epistemology before attempting to determine how psychologists form cumulative knowledge and theories. We examine meta-analysis and its criticisms, drawing on several prominent historical and recent cases. Student pairs will lead discussion on reviews of diverse research.

Capstone serves as a bookend for the psychology major. We examine the field of psychology in a broad, integrative way using a seminar format. A seminar means that this course primarily involves close reading, reflective writing, and thoughtful discussion. With almost no lecture in this

course, students should expect to read and write a lot.

#### II. About Your Instructor

- A. Contacting me. The best way to contact me is via e-mail. I typically check e-mail throughout the day, but only during normal business hours (9 am 5 pm). If you send me an email in the evening, you should not expect to get a response until the next business day. I will do my best to respond within 24 hours.
- B. Office hours. Office hours are listed above, but I am available to meet with you during other times, if you make an appointment with me by e-mail.
- C. My expertise. I was trained as a personality and social psychologist with a specialization in quantitative methods. I earned a Ph.D. and a master's degree from Michigan State University and a bachelor of arts from the University of Northern Iowa. I have conducted research in the areas of motivation, goal setting, academic achievement, and the role of personality in influencing all of these variables.

### III. Required Reading

Required Text: Chambers, C. (2017). The 7 deadly sins of psychology: A manifesto for reforming the culture of scientific practice. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Required Readings: Additional required readings are linked in schedule below. Some readings are obtainable from the GVSU library (consult the references at the end of this syllabus).

# IV. Course Objectives

This course is designed to help students develop their skills in the following areas:

A. Critical thinking. In this course, I will ask you to read deeply and critically engage with the readings. You will develop your critical faculties through course writings and discussion. You should expect to feel challenged and

uncomfortable.

- B. Oral communication and civil discourse. You will learn how to clearly, concisely, and respectfully express your opinion and support your position with evidence. You will be expected to engage and disagree with your peers and with me.
- C. Written communication. You will learn how to analyze a text in writing and to communicate your opinion (supported with evidence) in writing. This course uses APA style. I will work to help you develop your unique voice and to communicate clearly and with correct grammar and syntax.
- D. Quantitative reasoning. You will learn how to critique research cumulatively. That is, rather than focusing on the flaws of a particularly study, this course will show you why we need to be critical of bodies of research in science. We emphasize understanding of the quantitative reasons behind flaws in psychological research with an emphasis on understanding statistical power.

It is the instructor's goal that students become proficient in each of these key areas. Evaluations are designed to assess the extent to which proficiency in these areas has been attained.

### V. Evaluation

- A. Participation and attendance. Due to the seminar format of the course, attendance is required. After two absences, students should expect decreases in their participation grade. Participation is much more than merely attending class, however. Students should arrive on time and prepared for discussion. Being prepared means bringing the following with you:
  - A physical (preferred) or digital (allowed, but not preferred) copy of the reading (which you've read, of course)
  - A copy of your notes and reflections on the reading (you can mark up the reading itself or take notes separately), including your discussion questions
  - Means to take notes (likely, pen and paper)
    Participation will be graded holistically taking into

account the frequency and quality of your contributions to class discussions, as well as your apparent level of preparedness and engagement. Students will receive midterm feedback on their participation up to that point.

B. Discussion questions. Prior to each class discussion, students will submit a discussion question on Blackboard by noon the day before class. Posts are scored on the following scale: check, check minus, or missing. There are no late submissions, but we do drop the lowest two DQ scores.

Discussion questions should not merely restate or summarize what was said in the reading, but should seek to <u>delve deeper</u> into the material, generating interesting discussion. Thoughtful questions are usually at least 3-4 sentences include enough context for the reader to understand the question. Students should think about the kind of discussion their questions are likely to generate as they write. Here are some examples of good question stems (from the Stanford Center for Teaching and Learning):

Туре	Questions beginning with:	
Analysis	"Why" "How would you explain" "What is the importance of" "What is the meaning of"	
Compare and Contrast	"Compare" "Contrast" "What is the difference between" "What is the similarity between"	
Cause and Effect	"What are the causes/ results of" "What connection is there between"	
Clarification	"What is meant by" "Explain how"	

C. Thought papers. Six times throughout the semester you will prepare thought papers that react to, reflect on, and critically analyze the course readings. Responses should be minimally two double spaced pages and must be submitted on Blackboard by 10 pm the day before a discussion (see below for late policies). You may choose

the readings you write thought papers on, with the following restrictions:

- At least one paper must be submitted in the first unit (by 10 pm on 1/24)
- At least three papers (in total) must be submitted by the end of the second unit (by 10 pm on 2/26)
- No more than one paper may be submitted in the last unit (begins on 4/3)
- O No more than one paper may be submitted per week

Papers will be marked holistically on scale from check plus, check, check minus, and missing (zero). Begin early to ensure that you have sufficient time to complete your reflections. Here are some additional questions you might consider as you prepare your thought papers:

- What are the points the authors are trying to make?
- What is the evidence that is presented?
- Are the arguments sound?
- What are the implications of the arguments/findings? What are the authors' underlying assumptions?
- What are your reactions to what you are reading?
- Does the reading raise questions for you? If so, how would you respond to them?
- Are there interesting connections between this reading and past readings?
- Are there inconsistencies across readings? If so, how might you explain them?
- If readings suggest, or cause you to entertain, particular hypotheses, can you think of studies that might be designed to test them?
- D. Leading discussion. Each student, either individually or in a pair, will facilitate discussion on a review article of their choosing. I recommend students select articles from the journal Current Directions in Psychological Science. Review articles should be current (no more than three years old) and must be submitted to me for approval. Students are encouraged to creatively facilitate discussion of their reading in any way they see fit. The entire class will read your chosen article and prepare discussion questions, but it is up to you how you run class. When you facilitate discussion, you will likely want to read beyond the chosen reading to supplement your knowledge. Scoring criteria will be

available on Blackboard.

- E. In-class writing. Sporadically throughout the semester, I will assess your level of preparation for discussion by asking to you to respond to a question or two about that day's reading in writing. These assessments will take place at the beginning of class at my discretion. Late arrivers do not receive extra time, and there are no make-up assessments. We do drop the lowest score from this category.
- F. Exam. There will be only one exam in the course (the final exam). This exam will be an essay that will ask you to draw on multiple readings and concepts from throughout the semester and integrate them. You are advised to keep track of your readings and notes on your readings throughout the semester to prepare for the final exam.
- G. Extra credit. Students may complete additional thought papers for extra credit, worth up to 5 points each. Extra thought papers must be turned in by the regular thought paper deadline (10 pm the night before a discussion), and extra thought papers are only allowed after the required thought papers are complete. Students may earn no more than 20 points of extra credit through any combination of extra credit possibilities. All extra credit is due, via Blackboard, no later than 5 pm on 4/19/18.
- H. Late policy and absences. Unless otherwise noted above, the late policy for written work is as follows. Work may be submitted early, via Blackboard, for any assignment. Each student is allotted up to 3 grace days, to be used discretionally, throughout the term. Each 24 hour period an assignment is late counts as one grace day. Work that is submitted late and beyond the allotment of grace days FOR ANY REASON receives a two full letter grade (20%) deduction (e.g., a paper that earns an 80% is worth a 60% if 0-24 hours late; a paper that earns an 80% is worth a 40% if 24-48 hours late). Furthermore, no work may be submitted after the official close of the semester without an approved course extension. (Such extensions are granted in only the most extreme, and documented, circumstances.) If a chronic illness or

emergency requires you to miss an exam or presentation, you will be requested to provide documentation. Missing an exam or presentation without documentation is grounds for a zero on the assignment.

## I. Point breakdown by category.

ASSIGNMENT	POINTS	% OF TOTAL
Participation	100	20%
Discussion questions	50	10%
Thought papers (5 x 30)	150	30%
Facilitating discussion	50	10%
In-class writing	50	10%
Final Exam	100	20%
Extra Credit	Up to 20 pts	4%

# VI. Grading Scale

GRADE	POINTS	PERCENT
A	463-500	93%-100%
A-	448-462	90%-92%
B+	433-447	87%-89%
В	413-432	83%-86%
B-	398-412	80%-82%
C+	383-397	77%-79%
С	363-382	73%-76%
C-	348-362	70%-72%
D+	333-347	67%-69%
D	313-332	63%-66%
D-	298-312	60%-62%
F	<297	<60%

THESE ARE FIRM CUT-OFFS. I round up to the nearest percent (e.g., 86.5% rounds up to 87% and equals a B+, but 86.4% rounds down to 86% and equals a B). The point categories listed above reflect this rounding. DO NOT attempt to negotiate grades with me. It is your responsibility to make sure your grade ends up where you want it to be. I have provided ample extra credit opportunities for students who wish to improve their grades. Students who want to improve their learning (and therefore their grades) should see me early in the class for assistance. I will not negotiate grades with you, but I will do everything in my power to help you put in the necessary work to be as successful

as you desire.

### VII. Disability Accommodation

Any student in this class who has special needs because of a learning, physical, or other disability, please contact me and Disability Support Services (DSS) at (616) 331-2490. Furthermore, if you have a disability and think you will need assistance evacuating this classroom and/or building in an emergency, please make me aware so that the university and I can develop a plan to assist you. It is the student's responsibility to request assistance from DSS.

### VIII. Academic Honesty

Unless otherwise noted, all work for this course should be independently completed. Students should take special care to provide proper citation of sources when submitting written work. Adopting words, passages, or ideas without citation is plagiarism and will be treated as such per GVSU guidelines. Furthermore, students should not self-plagiarize, that is, reuse their own work from another course. The penalty for plagiarism ranges from a zero on the assignment to failure in the course. All instances of plagiarism will be reported to the College of Liberal Arts & Sciences.

The following are statements provided by the university and can be found in the Student Code, Sections, 223.00 and 223.01.

"Students will do original work and will not take or receive the efforts of another person on any test or assignment, use unauthorized resources on quizzes or tests, plagiarize, or give/sell other students papers or assignments not authorized by the instructor. You are responsible for making yourself aware of and for understanding the policies and procedures that pertain to academic integrity. To that end, be sure to familiarize yourself with the GVSU Student Code (Section 223.00 and 223.01) related to academic integrity. Furthermore, be sure to reference sources at all times. If you are uncertain about such an issue prior to submission of an assignment, project, or test, please see me so we can eliminate that uncertainty.

No student shall knowingly plagiarize or copy the work of another person and submit it as his/her own. Offering the work of someone else as one's own is plagiarism. Any ideas or material taken from another source for either written or oral presentation must be fully acknowledged. The language or ideas taken from another may range from isolated formulas, sentences, or paragraphs to entire articles copied from the internet, books, periodicals, speeches, or from the writing of other students. The offering of materials assembled or collected by others in the form of projects or collections without acknowledgement also is considered plagiarism. In short, any student who fails to give credit in written or oral work for the ideas or materials that have been taken from another is guilty of plagiarism."

#### IX. Our Social Contract

In order for this course to function optimally, we both have parts to play, and when we each do our part, everyone benefits.

As professor, I promise to always do my very best to select interesting and thought-provoking course material. I will prepare course materials to the best of my abilities, and I will make decisions about the course according to the learning goals I have outlined here. I will act fairly - holding every student to the same high standard and providing equal opportunities for success.

As student, you promise to prepare diligently for class, to always contribute to the best of your abilities, to never cheat or act dishonestly, and to treat your classmates and me with the highest respect. You will do your best to attend class and be on time. You will not ask me to grant you special privileges that aren't available to the rest of your classmates, in order that I may adhere to my promise to be fair and just to all of you.

### X. Major Field Test

ETS Major Field Test in Psychology The Psychology Department is scheduled to administer the ETS Major Field Test in Psychology (MFTP) to every senior taking PSY 492 this academic year. This will permit us to analyze the effectiveness of our curricular requirements in educating our students broadly across different areas of psychology.

The MFTP is designed to assess the knowledge of psychology acquired by all psychology majors, whether or not they intend to do graduate work. The MFTP is thus different from the GRE

Subject Test, which targets students who plan on attending graduate school.

Completion of the MFTP is a requirement in PSY 492 this year, and your final grade for this course will not be released until you have taken the test. In other words, if you have not finished the MFTP by the end of the semester, you will receive an Incomplete, and you won't receive your final grade until you do finish the test. Please note that the score you receive on the MFTP will not affect your grade in any way - indeed, we are not likely to have the test scores until next semester. The only thing that is required for you to get your grade (which is based solely on the course evaluation categories described in the previous pages of this syllabus) will be to take this test.

The MFTP has two sections, and requires about two hours to complete. The test will be administered outside of our regular class meetings, sometime during the last three weeks of the semester. The department will offer several MFTP sessions in order to accommodate a variety of student schedules, and you will be given the option of taking the two sections of the test on the same day or on different days. I will announce the times and dates of the test sessions as soon as they become available, and will give you instructions at that point for how to sign up for the test.

### XI. Summary of Due Dates

- Discussion questions: Noon the day before a discussion (no late DQs; drop the lowest two)
- Thought papers: 10 pm the night before a discussion
- Extra credit thought papers: 10 pm the night before a discussion (only allowed after first six submissions)
- Major field test: Late April (exact dates soon)

# XII. Course Calendar

Wk.	Date	Topic	Reading/Homework/Due
Houston: We have a problem			
1	1/9	Intro & Syllabus	Stephen Colbert, "Time Traveling Porn"  Carey, 2011

	1		
			Open Science
			Collaboration, 2015
	1/11	Do we have a problem?	
			The Experiment
			<u>Experiment</u>
	1/16	We have a problem, pt. 1	Chambers Ch. 1 & 2
2	1/16	we have a problem, pt. 1	(p. 1-45)
	1 /10	F-7 - 11- 1	Chambers Ch. 3 & 4
	1/18	We have a problem, pt. 2	(p. 46-95)
		Is psychology a science?,	
	1/23	pt. 1	Dienes, Ch. 1
3		Is psychology a science?,	Feynman, 1974
	1/25	pt. 2	Merton, 1942
		Po. 2	1101 0011, 13 11
		owards a cumulative psycholo	ogical science
	1/30	Is psychology cumulative?	Meehl, 1990
		History of systematic	Bastian, Glasziou, &
4	0 /1	review	Chalmers, 2010
	2/1	What is meta-analysis,	0010
		and what can it do?	Chan & Arvey, 2012
	- / -	Psychology's First	- 1.1
	2/6	Meta-analysis	Smith & Glass, 1977
5		Responses to Smith &	Eysenck, 1978
	2/8	Glass and meta-analysis	Smith & Glass, 1978
		critiques	Borenstein, Ch. 43
		1	Baumeister,
	2/13	Case Study: Ego Depletion	Bratslavsky, Muraven,
	2710	case seady. Ego Depreción	and Tice (1998)
6			Hagger et al. (2010)
			1149901 00 41. (2010)
	2/15	Ego Depletion Meta #1	Due: Topic Choices for
			Student Led Discussions
	2/20	Ego Depletion Meta #2	Carter & McCullough
7		Ego Doplotion Domiston	(2014)
	2/22	Ego Depletion Registered	Hagger et al. (2016)
		Replication Report (RRR)	D
8			Baumeister & Vohs
	2/27	Ego Depletion RRR Replies	(2016); Sripada,
			Kessler, & Jonides
			(2016); Drummond &
			Phillip (2017);
			Engber, 2016
			1
	3/1	Catch up Spring Break	_

Evaluating the evidence: Current examples				
9	3/13	Researcher Motivations & Incentives	Chambers Ch. 5-7	
	3/15	Student Led Discussions	Pair 1 Pair 2	
10	3/20	Student Led Discussions	Pair 3 Pair 4	
	3/22	Student Led Discussions	Pair 5 Pair 6	
11	3/27	Student Led Discussions	Pair 7 Pair 8	
	3/29	Student Led Discussions	Pair 9 Pair 10	
Dissecting the past and looking forward				
12	4/3	p-curve (Demonstration with Bem, 2011)	Simonsohn, Nelson, & Simmons, 2014	
	4/5	Adjudicating the evidence	Cuddy (2012) Simmons & Simonsohn (2017) Cuddy et al., in press	
13	4/10	Case Study: Should instructors ban laptops?	Mueller & Oppenheimer, 2014 <u>Dynarski (2017)</u>	
	4/12	Examining the evidence	TBD	
	4/17	Special activity	TBD	
14	4/19	Things are looking up	Chambers Ch. 8 <u>Vazire, 2014</u>	
15	4/23	Final Exam, 10 am		

# References:

Colbert, S. (2011, January 27). Time traveling porn. The Colbert Report [video clip]. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.cc.com/video-clips/bhf8jv/the-colbert-report-time-traveling-porn---daryl-bem">http://www.cc.com/video-clips/bhf8jv/the-colbert-report-time-traveling-porn---daryl-bem</a>

Carey, B. (2011, January 6). Journal's paper on ESP expected to prompt outrage. The New York Times. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.nytimes.com/2011/01/06/science/06esp.html">http://www.nytimes.com/2011/01/06/science/06esp.html</a>

- Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*, 349(6251), aac4716.
- Kestenbaum, D. (2016, January 15). The experiment experiment
   [audio podcast]. NPR Planet Money. Retrieved from
   https://www.npr.org/sections/money/2016/01/15/463237871/epi
   sode-677-the-experiment-experiment
- Chambers, C. (2017). The 7 deadly sins of psychology: A manifesto for reforming the culture of scientific practice. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Dienes, Z. (2008). Karl Popper and demarcation. In *Understanding* psychology as a science (pp. 1-32). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Feynman, R. P. (1974). Cargo cult science. *Engineering and Science*, 37(7), 10-13.
- Merton, R. K. (1942). A note on science and democracy. *Journal of Legal & Political Sociology*, 1, 115-126.
- Meehl, P. E. (1990). Why summaries of research on psychological theories are often uninterpretable. *Psychological Reports*, 66(1), 195-244.
- Bastian, H., Glasziou, P., & Chalmers, I. (2010). Seventy-five trials and eleven systematic reviews a day: how will we ever keep up? *PLoS medicine*, 7(9), e1000326.
- Chan, M. E., & Arvey, R. D. (2012). Meta-analysis and the development of knowledge. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7(1), 79-92.
- Smith, M. L., & Glass, G. V. (1977). Meta-analysis of psychotherapy outcome studies. *American Psychologist*, 32(9), 752-760.
- Eysenck, H. J. (1978). An exercise in mega-silliness. American Psychologist, 33(5), 517.
- Glass, G. V., & Smith, M. L. (1978). Reply to Eysenck. American Psychologist, 33(5), 517-519.

- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). Criticisms of meta-analysis. In *Introduction to meta-analysis* (pp. 377-387). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Muraven, M., & Tice, D. M. (1998). Ego depletion: Is the active self a limited resource?. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(5), 1252-1265.
- Hagger, M. S., Wood, C., Stiff, C., & Chatzisarantis, N. L. (2010). Ego depletion and the strength model of self-control: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(4), 495-525.
- Carter, E. C., & McCullough, M. E. (2014). Publication bias and the limited strength model of self-control: Has the evidence for ego depletion been overestimated?. Frontiers in Psychology, 5, 1-11.
- Hagger, M. S., Chatzisarantis, N. L., Alberts, H., Anggono, C. O., Batailler, C., Birt, A. R., ... & Calvillo, D. P. (2016). A multilab preregistered replication of the ego-depletion effect. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11(4), 546-573.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2016). Misguided effort with elusive implications. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11(4), 574-575.
- Sripada, C., Kessler, D., & Jonides, J. (2016). Sifting signal from noise with replication science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11(4), 576-578.
- Hagger, M. S., & Chatzisarantis, N. L. (2016). Commentary:
  Misguided effort with elusive implications, and sifting
  signal from noise with replication science. Frontiers in
  Psychology, 7.
- Drummond, A., & Philipp, M. C. (2017). Commentary: "Misguided Effort with Elusive Implications" and "A Multi-Lab Pre-Registered Replication of the Ego Depletion Effect". Frontiers in Psychology, 8.

- Engber, D. (2016, March 6). Everything is crumbling. Slate.
   Retrieved from
   http://www.slate.com/articles/health\_and\_science/cover\_stor
   y/2016/03/
   ego\_depletion\_an\_influential\_theory\_in\_psychology\_may\_have\_
   just\_been\_ debunked.html
- Simonsohn, U., Nelson, L. D., & Simmons, J. P. (2014). P-curve: a key to the file-drawer. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(2), 534-547.
- Cuddy, A. (2012). Your body language may shape who you are [video file]. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.ted.com/talks/amy\_cuddy\_your\_body\_language\_shapes-who-you\_are/up-next">https://www.ted.com/talks/amy\_cuddy\_your\_body\_language\_shapes-who-you\_are/up-next</a>
- Simmons, J. P., & Simonsohn, U. (2017). Power posing: P-curving the evidence. *Psychological Science*, 28(5), 687-693.
- Cuddy, A., Schultz, J., & Fosse, N. E. (2017, November 6).

  P-curving a more comprehensive body of research on postural feedback reveals clear evidential value for 'power posing' effects: Reply to Simmons and Simonsohn. In press

  Psychological Science. Preprint retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract id=3054952
- Mueller, P. A., & Oppenheimer, D. M. (2014). The pen is mightier than the keyboard: Advantages of longhand over laptop note taking. *Psychological Science*, 25, 1159-1168.
- Dynarski, S. (2017). Laptops are great, but not during a lecture or a meeting. New York Times. Retrieved from <a href="https://mobile.nytimes.com/2017/11/22/business/laptops-not-during-lecture-or-meeting.html">https://mobile.nytimes.com/2017/11/22/business/laptops-not-during-lecture-or-meeting.html</a>
- Vazire, S. (2014, December 1). Why I'm optimistic [blog post].
  Retrieved from
   http://sometimesimwrong.typepad.com/wrong/2014/12/why-i-am optimistic.html