

Motivational Interviewing Motivational Enhancement Therapy

Principal Investigator: [William R. Miller, Ph.D.](#)

Funding Agencies: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA)

National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)

Motivational interviewing (MI) is a client-centered, directive approach to enhance intrinsic motivation for behavior change by working with and resolving ambivalence (Miller & Rollnick, 2002; Rollnick & Miller, 1995). Originally developed to work with problem drinkers (Miller, 1983), MI has now been used and tested with a broad range of health behaviors (www.motivationalinterview.org).

Our early clinical trials combined MI with personal assessment feedback to form a Drinker's Check-up (Miller & Sovereign, 1989). Self-referred problem drinkers who received assessment and the Check-up showed significant reductions in alcohol use (Miller, Sovereign & Kreege, 1988). In a second study, problem drinkers self-referred from the community were assigned at random to a waiting list control group, or to an immediate Check-up with assessment feedback given in either an MI or a confrontational style (Miller, Benefield & Tonigan, 1993). Substantial reductions in drinking were observed following the check-up, as compared to those waiting for feedback. Drinking outcomes at 12 months were strongly predicted by counselor style: the more the counselor confronted, the more the client drank. Random assignment to counseling styles also strongly predicted the degree of client resistance (higher with confrontation) and expressed motivation for change (higher with MI).

Next we tested the Check-up as a prelude to treatment for alcoholism. In an outpatient treatment program, those randomly assigned to receive a Check-up (MI style) at intake showed substantially higher rates of abstinence, compared to those receiving the same treatment program without a Check-up (Bien, Miller & Boroughs, 1993). Similarly, patients entering a private 21-day residential alcoholism treatment program were assigned at random to receive or not receive a Check-up at intake (Brown & Miller, 1993). Abstinence rates were doubled (57% vs. 29%) 3 months after discharge for those who had received the Check-up. Program therapists, who were unaware of group assignment, rated those who had received the Check-up as significantly more motivated for change, participating more fully in treatment, and more likely to remain sober.

The same design was used to study the impact of a Check-up at admission to outpatient treatment of adolescents for polydrug abuse (Aubrey, 1998). Those randomly assigned to receive a Check-up at intake remained in treatment twice as long (20 sessions vs. 8), and at follow-up showed less than half the percentage of days with illicit drug use (26% vs. 59%).

In a small randomized trial with pregnant drinkers, women showed substantial reductions in their subsequent drinking (during the remainder of pregnancy) with or without MI. Secondary analyses revealed that among the heaviest drinkers, reductions in alcohol use were greater with MI (Handmaker, Miller & Manicke, 1999).

The Drinker's Check-up was modified to a 4-session intervention known as Motivational Enhancement Therapy (MET; Miller, Zweben, DiClemente & Rychtarik, 1992), and was tested in the largest alcoholism clinical trial ever conducted: Project MATCH (1997a, 1997b). Clients were assigned at random to one of three treatment methods: 12 sessions of Twelve-Step Facilitation Therapy, 12 sessions of Cognitive-Behavior Therapy, or 4 sessions of MET. All three groups showed substantial and statistically equivalent reductions in drinking at follow-up as long as 3 years later (Project MATCH Research Group, 1998). At all follow-up points, outpatients high in anger showed differentially better outcomes with MET, as compared with the other two treatment approaches (Project MATCH Research Group, 1997b; Waldron, Miller & Tonigan, 2001).

In a large clinical trial, we assigned clients entering treatment for drug abuse (primarily amphetamines, cocaine and/or heroin) to receive or not receive MET at intake (Miller, Yahne & Tonigan, in press). To our surprise, and contrary to the findings of other groups, we found absolutely no effect of MET on subsequent drug use outcomes. Subsequent process analyses of sessions suggests that we erred by forcing MET into a single manual-guided session, in which certain components (e.g., assessment feedback and development of a change plan) were required to be introduced regardless of whether clients showed indications of readiness or resistance (Amrhein et al., 2003). In an extension of this study, Yahne et al. (2002) evaluated the feasibility of MI with commercial sex workers who also use illicit drugs.

References:

- Website: www.motivationalinterview.org
- Amrhein, P. C., Miller, W. R., Yahne, C. E., Palmer, M., & Fulcher, L. (2003). Client commitment language during motivational interviewing predicts drug use outcomes. Manuscript under review for publication.
- Aubrey, L. L. (1998). Motivational interviewing with adolescents presenting for outpatient substance abuse treatment. Doctoral Dissertation, University of New Mexico.
- Bien, T. H., Miller, W. R., & Boroughs, J. M. (1993). Motivational interviewing with alcohol outpatients. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 21, 347-356.
- Brown, J. M., & Miller, W. R. (1993). Impact of motivational interviewing on participation and outcome in residential alcoholism treatment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 7, 211-218.
- Handmaker, N. S., Miller, W. R., & Manicke, M. (1999). Findings of a pilot study of motivational interviewing with pregnant drinkers. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 60, 285-287.
- Miller, W. R. (1983). Motivational interviewing with problem drinkers. *Behavioural Psychotherapy*, 11, 147-172.
- Miller, W. R. (1994). Motivational interviewing: III. On the ethics of motivational intervention. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 22, 111-123.
- Miller, W. R. (1995). The ethics of motivational interviewing revisited. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 23, 345-348.
- Miller, W. R. (Ed.) (1999). Enhancing motivation for change in substance abuse treatment. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series, No. 35. Rockville, MD: Center for Substance Abuse Treatment.
- Miller, W. R. (1994). Motivational interviewing: III. On the ethics of motivational intervention. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 22, 111-123.
- Miller, W. R. (1996). Motivational interviewing: Research, practice, and puzzles. *Addictive Behaviors*, 21, 835-842.
- Miller, W. R. (2000). Motivational enhancement therapy: Description of counseling approach. In J. J. Boren, L. S. Onken, & K. M. Carroll (Eds.), *Approaches to drug abuse counseling* (pp. 89- 93). Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Miller, W. R. (2000). Motivational interviewing: IV. Some parallels with horse whispering. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 28, 285-292.
- Miller, W. R. (2000). Rediscovering fire: Small interventions, large effects. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 14, 6-18.
- Miller, W. R., Benefield, R. G., & Tonigan, J. S. (1993). Enhancing motivation for change in problem drinking: A controlled comparison of two therapist styles. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61, 455-461.
- Miller, W. R., & Sovereign, R. G. (1989). The check-up: A model for early intervention in addictive behaviors. In T. Løberg, W. R. Miller, P. E. Nathan, & G. A. Marlatt (Eds.), *Addictive behaviors: Prevention and early intervention* (pp. 219-231). Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2002). *Motivational interviewing: Preparing people for change*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Miller, W. R., Sovereign, R. G., & Krege, B. (1988). Motivational interviewing with problem drinkers: II. The Drinker's Check-up as a preventive intervention. *Behavioural Psychotherapy*, 16, 251- 268.
- Miller, W. R., Yahne, C. E., & Tonigan, J. S. (in press). Motivational interviewing in drug abuse services: A randomized trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*.
- Miller, W. R., Zweben, A., DiClemente, C. C., & Rychtarik, R. G. (1992). *Motivational Enhancement Therapy manual: A clinical research guide for therapists treating individuals with alcohol abuse and dependence*. Rockville, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.
- Project MATCH Research Group (1997a). Matching alcoholism treatments to client heterogeneity: Project MATCH posttreatment drinking outcomes. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 58, 7-29.

- Project MATCH Research Group (1997b). Project MATCH secondary a priori hypotheses. *Addiction*, 92, 1671-1698.
- Project MATCH Research Group (1998). Matching alcoholism treatments to client heterogeneity: Project MATCH three-year drinking outcomes. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 22, 1300-1311.
- Rollnick, S., & Miller, W. R. (1995). What is motivational interviewing? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 23, 325-334.
- Waldron, H. B., Miller, W. R., & Tonigan, J. S. (2001). Client anger as a predictor of differential response to treatment. In R. Longabaugh & P. W. Wirtz (Eds.), *Project MATCH hypotheses: Results and causal chain analyses* (pp. 134-148). Project MATCH Monograph Series, Vol. 8. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.
- Yahne, C. E., Miller, W. R., Irvin-Vitela, L., & Tonigan, J. S. (2002). Magdalena pilot project: Motivational outreach to substance abusing women street sex workers. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 23, 49-53.



The University of New Mexico

[University of New Mexico Home Page](#)

This Web Page Form was created by [Matt O'Nuska](#)

Copyright © 2004

Last modified: July 9, 2004