

Christian Miller. Submitted photo

INTERFAITH INSIGHT

How virtuous are we?

Scientific studies reveal some challenging results

Doug Kindschi Director, Kaufman Interfaith Institute

What does it mean to be moral? What are the virtues we should cultivate and what are the vices we should avoid? What we the observatories of are the characteristics of true character? Does religion

Recent scientific stud-ies have shed light on some help us to live a moral life?

Philosophers as well as religious thinkers have asked these questions for millennia. Aristotle identified virtues that lead to good character such as courage, self-control, civility, modesty, generosity and justice. Nearly all religions have their lists of virtues that lead to good character.

The Apostle Paul listed the fruits of the spirit as "love, joy, peace, patience, kindness, goodness, faithfulness, goodness, faithfulness, gentleness and self-control."

Some Muslim commentators have identified to virtues the self-control of the self-commentators have identified to virtues and self-control."

tators have identified 16 vir-

one-sentence summa-ries often identified as the "golden rule." Rabbi Hillel famously said, "What is hateful to you, do not do to oth-

Recently, the sciences, from psychology to neurobiology, have entered the discussion with studies designed to understand how the brain makes moral decisions and how we in fact act in crises. The results are often counterintuitive and challenge how we like to think about ourselves.

Philosopher Christian Miller has been engaged in a major study looking at the issue of character and asks, "How good are we?" In his Recently, the sciences,

"How good are we?" In his recent book "The Character recent book "The Character Gap." he describes how most of us "tend to think of ourselves, our friends and our families as good people. We may not be saints, but we are not morally corrupt either. We are honest, kind, trustworthy and reasonably virtuous people." Miller, however, begs to differ, and relates recent studies that show this self-image to be mistaken. In carefully controlled exper-

carefully controlled exper-iments as well as in real-life iments as well as in real-life situations, the results are often quite different from what we think our basic response would be. We have some serious character flaws that "we do not even recognize... as they often fly below our conscious radar screen." He is not suggesting that we are evil, but a mixed bag, and in certain situations we do not respond in fact the way we imagine we would. "Our hearts are not morally pure, but they are

morally pure, but they are not morally corrupt either," he writes. "Rather, they are a messy blend of good and

evil." Miller also suggests that we do have the capac-ity to do tremendous good and that there are ways to develop the virtues and even "bridge the character gap."

es have shed light on some of these issues. Since the Philosophers as well as Philosophers as well as elligious thinkers have shed these questions for illennia. Aristotle identiced virtues that lead to good haracter such as courage, elf-control, civility, mod-streamy all religions have relearly soft these virtues. Studies report that these theracteristics lead to better health, higher work satisfaction and performance, as well as decreased anxiety. This, of course, raises the complication of whether pursuing these virtues is the complication of whether pursuing these virtues between the span to study characteristics like gratitude, hope and integrity, we have earned much about the positive results of these virtues. Studies report that these theracteristics lead to better health, higher work satisfaction and performance, as well as decreased anxiety. marily for the benefits is just another form of selfishness; or, are these auxiliary byproducts but not necessar-

tators have identified 16 virtures that constitute good
character.

Jewish writers have identified central virtues from
the biblical prophets to
include benevolence, compassion, humility and peace
loving.

Many religions have
one-sentence summatobeln.

is the only person available to help.

Miller describes "one of the most famous experiments in the history of psychology" called the "Lady in Distress" study. In what was purported to be a marketing survey, the subject is in a small room with a woman who is administering the survey. She leaves to get something in the next room when the subject hears a loud crash and the woman crying, "Oh my God, my foot...! Can't movel" and then moans and cries for another minute." The fascinating part of the study is that some of the subjects were in the room alone while is that some of the subjects were in the room alone while for another group of subjects there was another person in the room, an actor who is part of the study and instructed to ignore the cry for help.

instructed to ignore the cry
for help.

The difference was
remarkable. For the subjects
who were alone, 70 percent
responded to the cry for help,
while in the case where the
actor who didn't react was
in the room, only 7 percent
of the subjects responded. of the subjects responded.

When: Meeting alternate Thursday evenings

More information: Sign-up at www.bit.ly/Grand-Dia

This embarrassment-fac-tor experiment has been repeated in many differ-ent scenarios with similar results.

Potential embarrass-

ment tends to lessen the inclination to respond. It could help explain the real-life situation a few years life situation a few years ago where on the day after Thanksgiving, "Black Friday," in a Target store one of the shoppers with a heart condition collapsed on the floor. Many people walked around him and some actually stepped over him without attempting to help. Later, some nurses administered CPR, but it was too late and he died that night in the hospital. We might think that this is unusual—and certainly we would and certainly we would not have acted that way but these studies sugges this might be more typical

than we would expect. Miller would argue that Miller would argue that we are learning that the mixture of good and bad in most people is more complicated and calls for a better understanding of how in fact people behave in certain situations. It's not that we are completely bad, but not completely good either. But there are things we can do to work at developing the moral character oping the moral character that we like to assume is who we are. Miller will be our

Miller will be our speaker here in Grand Rap-jds next March at the Grand Dialogue conference. A book study group will be reading his book on "The Character Gap" on alternate Thursday evenings, beginning Jan. 10. You are welcome to join in by signing up at bit.ly/Grand-Dialogue.

interfaith@gvsu.edu

