

FY 2015 CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECT REQUEST Classroom/Office Additions – Rank 1 \$60,000,000

Is The Project A Renovation or New Construction?	Ren	X	New	X
Is there a 5-Year Master Plan Available?	Yes	X	No	
(Projects will not be approved without a current 5-year plan				
on file with the State Budget Office)				
Are Professionally Developed Program Statement and/or Scho	ematics			
Plans Available Now	Yes		No	X
Are Match Resources Currently Available?	Yes		No	X
Has the University Identified Available Operating Funds?	Yes		No	X

A. Project Description Narrative

(Please include a general description of the project purpose. Also include the following items: New Construction; Renovation and/or Addition; Gross Sq. Ft.; Estimated Total Cost of Project and Estimate for Each Component or "Phase" where Applicable; Estimated Start and Completion Dates for Construction; and Estimated Annual Operating Cost. Utilize as many pages as necessary, with an emphasis on conciseness)

This project proposes the construction of a150,000 square feet addition to the existing Performing Arts Center building to meet classroom and office demand for the communication arts program, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences.

Proposed work would include code related improvements, fire safety code improvements, and ADA compliance work within the existing building.

Academic classrooms would be upgraded to current standards to accommodate the changes in teaching technology that have occurred since the 1971 construction of this building. Heating and cooling systems would be upgraded to meet current energy codes.



Planning authorization is requested for FY 2015

Estimated project cost is \$60,000,000

Estimated start of construction would be April 2016

Estimated completion of construction would be July 2018

Estimated Annual Operating Cost is \$3,600,000

B. Other Alternatives Considered

(What alternative methods of addressing this capital project request were considered; i.e. long distance learning, renovation of other buildings on campus, re-evaluation of need for program, leasing of space, etc. Why were these alternatives not chosen? What are the programmatic implication should this project not be funded?)

GVSU is one of the state's most efficient universities. GVSU is one of the lowest funded universities per student; has the least number of employees per student; and is among the campuses with the least instructional space per student. The existing structures do not have the electrical or electronic capacity to accommodate future technology and growth, and a significant investment would be required to prepare the existing building for emerging technology.

If this project is not funded, Grand Valley State University's role as a public, comprehensive institution that provides quality educational services to the citizens of Michigan will be adversely affected.

Existing structures have been repurposed to relieve the burden on the existing building. Activities undertaken have included the relocation of faculty from the building so the vacated space could be used for student practice. Storage functions, which are essential to some of the programs, have been relocated off campus. Program activities have been curtailed to fit within the available space.

If this project is not funded, Grand Valley State University's role as a public, comprehensive institution that provides quality educational services to the citizens of Michigan will be adversely affected.



C. Programmatic Benefit to State Taxpayers and Specific Clientele or Constituencies

(What is the benefit to state taxpayers for investing their tax dollars in this project? What is the benefit to students or other clientele or constituencies?)

Through the 1990's and into the early 2000's, Grand Valley was Michigan's fastest growing university. Our forecast calls for a reduction in enrollment growth from the trends in recent years. More recently, our 24,477 students, faculty and staff made a total estimated economic impact of more than \$732 million in Kent, Ottawa, and Muskegon counties.

This project will provide the essential space the University requires to meet its goals and objectives.

D. Funding Resources

(Please provide as much information as possible including; fund source(s) identified for this capital outlay project – federal, state, private; and time frame for availability. Those willing to exceed minimum matching requirements will receive more consideration. Please identify funding sources to be used for operation if this project is a new construction project.)

It is expected the required match resources will be a blend of private donations, GVSU capital, and GVSU bonding paid off with General Fund Revenue capital.

The University is confident the required funding match will be obtained following legislative granted program-planning authority.

The operations of the project will be funded from the University general fund budget.



FY 2015 CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECT REQUEST Classroom/Office Additions – Rank 2 \$50,000,000

Is The Project A Renovation or New Construction?	Ren		New	X
Is there a 5-Year Master Plan Available?	Yes	\times	No	
(Projects will not be approved without a current 5-year plan				
on file with the State Budget Office)				
Are Professionally Developed Program Statement and/or Sche	ematics			
Plans Available Now	Yes	X	No	
Are Match Resources Currently Available?	Yes		No	X
Has the University Identified Available Operating Funds?	Yes		No	X

A. Project Description Narrative

(Please include a general description of the project purpose. Also include the following items: New Construction; Renovation and/or Addition; Gross Sq. Ft.; Estimated Total Cost of Project and Estimate for Each Component or "Phase" where Applicable; Estimated Start and Completion Dates for Construction; and Estimated Annual Operating Cost. Utilize as many pages as necessary, with an emphasis on conciseness)

The continued growth in academic credit hours within the health sciences has increased the utilization of existing teaching and research laboratory spaces. The current utilization rate is estimated to be 80% higher than the accepted academic practice of 35 hours per week. This growth in the utilization rate is due in part to historic enrollment growth; however, a significant amount of the increase can be attributed to the preparation of students entering the health, nursing, medical engineering, and computer based analysis programs. These new spaces would be constructed to meet new training protocols, simulation based learning, and group program analysis.

This project proposes the construction of a new 95,000 square feet laboratory and faculty office building on the GVSU Grand Rapids campus. The building would provide space for labs, offices and support functions. The project would include renovation of vacated spaces. Laboratory spaces and classrooms would be constructed to current standards. Heating and cooling systems would be constructed to meet current energy codes, LEED and sustainability requirements.



Planning authorization is requested for FY 2016

Estimated project cost is \$50,000,000

Estimated start of construction would be April 2017

Estimated completion of construction would be July 2019

Estimated Annual Operating Cost is \$3,200,000

B. Other Alternatives Considered

(What alternative methods of addressing this capital project request were considered; i.e. long distance learning, renovation of other buildings on campus, re-evaluation of need for program, leasing of space, etc. Why were these alternatives not chosen? What are the programmatic implication should this project not be funded?)

GVSU is one of the state's most efficient universities. GVSU is one of the lowest funded universities per student; has the least number of employees per student; and is among the campuses with the least instructional space per student. The existing structures do not have the capacity to accommodate future growth.

If this project is not funded, Grand Valley State University's role as a public, comprehensive institution that provides quality educational services to the citizens of Michigan will be adversely affected.

C. Programmatic Benefit to State Taxpayers and Specific Clientele or Constituencies

(What is the benefit to state taxpayers for investing their tax dollars in this project? What is the benefit to students or other clientele or constituencies?)

Through the 1990's and into the early 2000's, Grand Valley was Michigan's fastest growing university. Our forecast calls for a reduction in enrollment growth from the trends in recent years. More recently, our 24,477 students, faculty and staff made a total estimated economic impact of more than \$732 million in Kent, Ottawa, and Muskegon counties.

This project will provide the additional space required by the University to meet its goals and objectives.



D. Funding Resources

(Please provide as much information as possible including; fund source(s) identified for this capital outlay project – federal, state, private; and time frame for availability. Those willing to exceed minimum matching requirements will receive more consideration. Please identify funding sources to be used for operations if this project is a new construction project.)

It is expected the required match resources will be a blend of private donations, GVSU capital, and GVSU bonding paid off with General Fund Revenue capital.

The University is confident the required funding match will be obtained following legislative granted program-planning authority.

The operations of the project will be funded from the University general fund budget.



FY 2015 CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECT REQUEST Classroom/Office Additions – Rank 3 \$10,000,000

Is The Project A Renovation or New Construction?	Ren	X	New	
Is there a 5-Year Master Plan Available?	Yes	\times	No	
(Projects will not be approved without a current 5-year plan				
on file with the State Budget Office)				
Are Professionally Developed Program Statement and/or Scho	ematics			
Plans Available Now	Yes		No	X
Are Match Resources Currently Available?	Yes		No	X
Has the University Identified Available Operating Funds?	Yes		No	X

A. Project Description Narrative

(Please include a general description of the project purpose. Also include the following items: New Construction; Renovation and/or Addition; Gross Sq. Ft.; Estimated Total Cost of Project and Estimate for Each Component or "Phase" where Applicable; Estimated Start and Completion Dates for Construction; and Estimated Annual Operating Cost. Utilize as many pages as necessary, with an emphasis on conciseness)

As new buildings are commissioned and programs move into them, the University has faced the need to renovate its existing classrooms and offices and upgrade infrastructure to provide the optimal learning, teaching, and administrative environment. In addition, attention needs to be given to maintaining the functional and financial value of the facilities over the long-term. Capital investment is required to avoid a predictable "spending down" of the capital asset represented by these facilities.

This project proposes the renovation of the interiors of Lake Huron Hall, Lake Superior Hall, Au Sable Hall, and a portion of the L. V. Eberhard Center. Approximately 85,000 square feet of existing space would be renovated.

Proposed work in the University's 1960's and 1980's era buildings would include code related improvements, fire safety code improvements, and ADA compliance work. Academic classrooms would be upgraded to current standards to accommodate the changes in teaching technology that have occurred since 1960 and 1980. Heating and cooling systems would be upgraded to meet current codes.



Planning authorization is requested for FY 2017

Estimated project cost is \$10,000,000

Estimated start of construction would be April 2018

Estimated completion of construction would be July 2019

Estimated Annual Operating Cost is \$700,000

B. Other Alternatives Considered

(What alternative methods of addressing this capital project request were considered; i.e. long distance learning, renovation of other buildings on campus, re-evaluation of need for program, leasing of space, etc. Why were these alternatives not chosen? What are the programmatic implication should this project not be funded?)

GVSU is one of the state's most efficient universities. GVSU is one of the lowest funded universities per student; has the least number of employees per student; and is among the campuses with the least instructional space per student. These buildings are in need of code and compliance related improvements, as well as, office space which would be provided by the addition to Au Sable Hall. In order to provide an optimal learning, teaching, and administrative environment, this project is warranted.

If this project is not funded, Grand Valley State University's role as a public, comprehensive institution that provides quality educational services to the citizens of Michigan will be adversely affected.

C. Programmatic Benefit to State Taxpayers and Specific Clientele or Constituencies

(What is the benefit to state taxpayers for investing their tax dollars in this project? What is the benefit to students or other clientele or constituencies?)

Through the 1990's and into the early 2000's, Grand Valley was Michigan's fastest growing university. Our forecast calls for a reduction in enrollment growth from the trends in recent years. More recently, our 24,477 students, faculty and staff made a total estimated economic impact of more than \$732 million in Kent, Ottawa, and Muskegon Counties.

Five-Year Master Plan and Capital Outlay Request for Fiscal Year 2015



This project will provide the additional space the University requires to meet its goals and objectives.

D. Funding Resources

(Please provide as much information as possible including; fund source(s) identified for this capital outlay project – federal, state, private; and time frame for availability. Those willing to exceed minimum matching requirements will receive more consideration. Please identify funding sources to be used for operations if this project is a new construction project.)

It is expected the required match resources will be a blend of GVSU capital and GVSU bonding paid off with General Fund Revenue capital.

The University is confident the required funding match will be obtained following legislative granted program-planning authority.

The operations of the project will be funded from the University general fund budget.