# COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

#### Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

**4.0.3:** The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

#### Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

### Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

Assessment Measure #1: Generalist Field Evaluation				
Dimension(s) assessed:	All Dimensions Assessed through			
	Observable Behaviors			
When/where students are assessed:	End of Final Field I (Generalist)			
	Evaluation			
Who assessed student competence:	Field Supervisor			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Competency Rating of 4 or 5 out of			
for Competencies 1-9:	5			
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80%			
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies				
1-9:				
Assessment Measure #2: Core Course -Common				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Various - See Below			
When/where students are assessed:	Classroom/ Assessed throughout			
	the Program			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty Instructor			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Competency Assessment Rating of			
for Competencies 1-9:	4 or 5 out of 5			
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80%			
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies				
1-9:	04.14			
Measure 2A: SW 601: Social Work Foundations	C1: Knowledge, Cognitive/ Affective			
Assignment: Social Issues paper	On Kanadadaa On with a Affactive			
Measure 2B: SW 600: Cultural Competency for Social Work	C2: Knowledge, Cognitive/ Affective			
Cross-Cultural Experience Assignment	CO. Values Compiting / Affecting			
Measure 2C: SW 610: Social Welfare Policy &Services I	C3: Values, Cognitive/ Affective			
Assignment: Policy Analysis Paper  Measure 2D: SW 690: Social Research I	C5: Knowledge, Skills			
	C4: Knowledge, Values, Skills			
Literature Review  Massure 25: SW 603: Integrated Methods	C6: Knowledge Skills			
Measure 2E: SW 603: Integrated Methods Assignment: Population at Rick Paper	C6: Knowledge, Skills			
Assignment: Population at Risk Paper	C8: Knowledge, Skills C9: Knowledge, Skills			
Measure 2F: SW 620: Human Behavior & the Social Environment				
	C7: Knowledge, Skills			
Assignment: Intervention Case Study				

### Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

#### **Area of Specialized Practice #1: Advanced Generalist**

Assessment Measure #1: Generalist Field Evaluation				
Dimension(s) assessed:	All Dimensions Assessed through Observable Behaviors			
When/where students are assessed:	End of Final Field III (Advanced Practice) Evaluation			
Who assessed student competence:	Field Supervisor			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	Competency Rating of 4 or 5 out of 5			
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	80%			
Assessment Measure #2: Core Course -Common Ass				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Various - See Below			
When/where students are assessed:	Classroom/ Assessed throughout the Program			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty Instructor			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	Competency Rating of 4 or 5 out of 5			
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	80%			
Measure 2A: SW 640: Advanced Generalist Seminar (Capstone)	Competencies/Dimensions:			
Assignment: Capstone Final Project	C1: Values, Cognitive/Affective			
	C2: Skills, Cognitive/Affective			
Measure 2B: Advanced Policy: SW 612, 613, 614, 630, or 631	Competencies/Dimensions:			
Assignment: Advanced Policy Assignment	C3: Values, Cognitive/Affective			
	C5: Knowledge, Skills			
Measure 2C: SW 691 Social Research II	Competency/Dimensions:			
Assignment: Applied Research Project	C4: Knowledge, Skills, Values			
Measure 2D: SW 662 – Substance Abuse and Social Work Practice:	Competencies/Dimensions:			
Assignment: Substance Abuse Assessment	C6: Knowledge, Skills			
	C7: Knowledge, Skills			
Measure 2E: SW 670: Social Work Practice with Individuals	Competencies/Dimensions:			
Assignment: Intervention Case Study	C8: Knowledge, Skills			
	C9: Knowledge, Skills			

## Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2019-2020)

Program Option #1: (Grand Rapids – Traditional in-Person)
For AY 2019-2020: GVSU has 1 Program Option (Traditional, In-Person) & 1 Area of Specialized Practice (Advanced Generalist)

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK	
	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 or more measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 or more measures	Generalist Practice n = 126	Area of Specialized Practice #1 Advanced Generalist n =293
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	90.3	96.5%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	86.0	89.3%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	81.5	75.3%
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	72.8	83.8%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	79.0	84.8%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	88.5	95.3%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	77.8	87.8%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	80.5	84.5%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	78.8	91.3

# OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (Aggregate Findings for All Students from All Program Options Combined) ONE PROGRAM OPTION (See Above)

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2019-2020)

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK	
	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 or more measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 or more measures	Generalist Practice n = 126	Area of Specialized Advanced Generalist n =293
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	90.3	96.5%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	86.0	89.3%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	81.5	75.3%
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	72.8	83.8%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	79.0	84.8%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	88.5	95.3%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	77.8	87.8%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	80.5	84.5%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	78.8	91.3