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I. Introduction

This document describes the procedures for evaluating tenure-track faculty within the Department of Mathematics. For the purposes of this document, “tenure-track faculty” refers to any tenured or tenure-eligible member of the Department of Mathematics. The policies and procedures outlined are either required by the Faculty Handbook (in particular Chapter 4, Section 2.10) or serve to supplement the Faculty Handbook policies and provide additional details specific to the mathematics department.

II. Personnel Process

1. The department will maintain a list of guidelines and suggestions for the preparation of integrative statements and portfolios. Each candidate will maintain a current vita that will be made public at the start of the review process. Each candidate may choose to name an advocate who will work with the Personnel Committee at the points indicated below throughout the process for the candidate under consideration. The Advocate must be a tenure-track member of the department of mathematics, but may not be the Unit Head.

2. The Personnel Committee will provide a mechanism for tenure-track faculty to share anonymous formative feedback with the candidate about strengths and potential issues to address in the integrative statement and portfolio. The Personnel Committee will compile this information and share it with the candidate. In addition, the Unit Head will communicate directly with the candidate about possible items for the candidate to address. Although the exact content of this communication will remain confidential, the Unit Head, as a member of the department, may raise issues discussed with the candidate in subsequent stages of the personnel process.

3. All candidates are strongly encouraged to solicit 1-3 letters from professional colleagues outside the Department of Mathematics, including, if appropriate, professional colleagues outside of Grand Valley. Such external letter writers will be charged with providing information and their professional opinion about one or more relevant aspects of the candidate’s work, in light of department standards and expectations. Although the Personnel Committee and Advocate will work with the candidate to identify external letter writers, their selection ultimately rests with the candidate. The Personnel Committee will communicate with and request the letters from the external letter writers; the letters will be addressed and submitted to the Unit Head. The Personnel Committee will share the candidate’s vita and the Department’s evaluation criteria with external letter writers; upon request, the Personnel Committee will solicit additional relevant materials from the candidate and share these with the external letter writers.

4. Each academic year (typically in the fall semester), the Personnel Committee will conduct one classroom visitation for each untenured faculty member using the attached Class Visit Record. In addition, tenured faculty members seeking promotion within three years are encouraged to request classroom visitations from the Personnel Committee. The Class Visit Records produced by the Personnel Committee will be shared with the candidate and retained by the department for future reviews.

5. Untenured faculty who are under consideration for contract renewal, tenure, and/or promotion must include in their portfolios all Class Visit Records produced by the Personnel Committee prior to their review. Tenured faculty who are under consideration for promotion must include all Class Visit Records produced by the Personnel Committee in the three years prior to their review. If any candidate (untenured or tenured) has not been visited by the Personnel Committee at least twice in the three years prior to their review, then the Personnel Committee will conduct during the semester prior to the review (winter semester for promotions to Professor; fall semester for all other personnel actions) to ensure that the candidate’s portfolio includes at least two Class Visit Records.
6. The Personnel Committee (with support from the office staff) will create a spreadsheet containing all numerical data from the relevant years of teaching evaluations available to the candidate. This spreadsheet will be included in the candidate’s portfolio.

7. The candidate may share with the Personnel Committee and Advocate a draft integrative statement. The Personnel Committee and Advocate, after reviewing this document as well as the candidate’s student evaluations, will meet with the candidate to provide formative feedback with regard to the completeness, clarity, and coherence of the integrative statement as it pertains to the relevant evaluation criteria. During this meeting, the candidate will also be given an opportunity to discuss preliminary feedback provided by department members in Item 2 above. The feedback provided during this meeting will not be documented in writing and should not be construed as necessary or sufficient to guarantee a positive outcome of the personnel action under consideration. The choice to meet or not meet with the Personnel Committee regarding a draft integrative statement rests with the candidate; this choice, by itself, will not be viewed favorably or unfavorably in subsequent steps of the evaluation process.

8. The candidate will submit their portfolio, including their finalized integrative statement, to the Personnel Committee. At this time, external letter writers will also submit their letters to the Unit Head. These letters will then be included in the candidate’s portfolio.

9. The candidate’s portfolio will be made available to all tenure-track faculty. The portfolio will include the integrative statement, vita, student evaluations, classroom visitation records, external letters, and supporting information and examples of work provided by the candidate. For untenured faculty, the portfolio must include all Faculty Activity Reports (FARs) from previous years and written performance summaries for the 2012 calendar year and all subsequent years. It is the candidate’s responsibility to include these materials in their portfolio. For tenured faculty seeking promotion, the portfolio must include FARs and written performance summaries from the previous five years. If the previous five-year period includes years prior to 2013, only performance summaries for the 2013 calendar year and subsequent years are required to be included.

10. Each department member is expected to review the candidate’s vita, integrative statement, class visit reports, numerical student evaluation data, and external letters. In addition, faculty should seek additional information and/or clarification from the candidate’s materials whenever such information is necessary to ensure informed and responsible participation in the candidate’s review. Based on their review of the candidate’s materials, each department member is invited to submit suggested bullet items for the candidate’s Initial Agenda. Department members may submit up to three suggested bullet items (of 1-2 sentences each) for each of the three areas of evaluation (teaching, scholarly activity, and service). These suggestions will be sent to the Unit Head, the Personnel Committee, and the Advocate. Faculty are encouraged to include a brief rationale for their suggestions, but the rationale will not be included in the agenda.

11. Each member of the Personnel Committee and the Advocate will review the entire portfolio, including the external letters, and will submit 1-3 suggested bullet items for each of the three areas of evaluation. These suggestions will be sent to the Unit Head and shared with all members of the Personnel Committee and the Advocate.

12. Based on the information submitted by the department members, members of the Personnel Committee, and external letter writers, the Unit Head will create a Draft Agenda for the candidate’s unit discussion meeting. It is expected that this agenda will normally be at most 2 pages for a contract renewal, and at most 3 pages for a tenure or promotion decision.
13. The Unit Head will share the Draft Agenda with the Personnel Committee and Advocate. The Personnel Committee and Advocate will then meet to discuss the Draft Agenda. After the meeting, the Advocate and each of the individual members of the Personnel Committee will communicate suggestions to the Unit Head for any changes to the Draft Agenda. At her/his discretion, the Unit Head will revise the Draft Agenda based on these suggestions to produce the Initial Agenda.

14. The Unit Head will share the Initial Agenda with the Personnel Committee and the Advocate. The Personnel Committee and the Advocate will then vote on whether to endorse the Initial Agenda. If there is not a majority vote in favor of endorsing the Initial Agenda, the Unit Head will revise the Initial Agenda, submit the revised version to the Personnel Committee and Advocate, and a new vote will be taken. After the final vote is taken, the Advocate and/or any member(s) of the Personnel Committee may write a dissent (at most one page in length) that will be appended to the Initial Agenda. All dissents will be signed by their author(s). The final vote will be made public along with the Initial Agenda.

15. The Unit Head will distribute the Initial Agenda (including the final Personnel Committee vote and any dissent) to all tenure-track faculty.

16. Once the Initial Agenda has been distributed, any tenure-track department member, including the candidate, may propose changes. The Unit Head, at his or her discretion, will use faculty feedback and the Initial Agenda to produce the Final Agenda for the unit discussion meeting. The Unit Head will then distribute the Final Agenda to all tenure-track faculty.

17. The unit discussion meeting will last at most 50 minutes and will be scheduled in advance at a normal department meeting or seminar time. The meeting will have three stages: discussion with the candidate, deliberation, and voting. During the discussion portion of the meeting, the candidate will be given the opportunity to respond to any items listed on the agenda. If time permits, department members will be given the opportunity to ask follow-up questions. After the discussion portion of the meeting has concluded, the candidate will leave the room, and the Unit Head will provide a verbal summary of the preceding discussion. Department members will then discuss the personnel action or actions under consideration and vote by secret ballot. To the extent possible given the aforementioned time limitations, it is the Unit Head’s responsibility to organize and facilitate each candidate’s unit discussion meeting in a way that promotes meaningful discussion of salient issues.

18. After the candidate’s unit discussion meeting, the Unit Head and PC chair will count and tally the votes in the presence of the candidate (or a designate chosen by the candidate). The result of the vote will be shared with the department via e-mail.

19. The Unit Head will solicit faculty comment forms from each tenure-track member of the department. If a department member does not submit a faculty comment form, it will be assumed that the department member agrees with the majority vote and has no additional perspectives to add to the discussion. The Unit Head will use the unit discussion and comment forms to prepare a Draft Unit Recommendation Report. However, at his or her discretion, the Unit Head may reconvene the department, returning to step #16, if substantive new issues or concerns are raised in the post-meeting comment forms.

20. The Unit Head will distribute to all tenure-track faculty the candidate’s Draft Unit Recommendation Report. The Unit Head will solicit suggestions for changes to the Draft Unit Recommendation Report and will, at his or her discretion, incorporate these suggestions into the final Unit Recommendation Report. The Unit Head will distribute the final Unit Recommendation Report to all tenure-track faculty.

21. Following the guidelines in the university Faculty Personnel Policy, the Unit Head will forward the final agenda, minutes of the department meeting (including the departmental vote), copies of all individual
faculty comment forms, the candidate’s portfolio, letters from external letter writers, suggestions for Initial Agenda items from faculty, feedback from the Personnel Committee members to the Unit Head on the Initial Agenda, and any other materials used in the evaluation process, along with the final Unit Recommendation Report, to the Dean. The Unit Head will also forward to the Dean a list of faculty who did not submit faculty comment forms and are thus assumed to agree with the majority vote. This list will also note faculty who are on sabbatical or excused from participation due to conflicts of interest. At this time, the candidate may also draft and attach an addendum to his or her integrative statement in order to address issues raised in the department meeting and/or Unit Recommendation Report.

22. After the conclusion of Step 21, each candidate will be given an opportunity to meet with the Personnel Committee and the Advocate for the purpose of providing formative feedback from the PC to the candidate, and from the candidate to the PC. The formative feedback provided to the candidate by the PC makes no commitment regarding future personnel actions or salary adjustments since performance of the projected activities will continue to be determined by evaluation criteria established by the Faculty Handbook and carried out by specific procedures determined by the unit faculty.
Appendix A: Schedule Guidelines for Personnel Reviews

Because of workload and scheduling constraints, it is necessary to allow the Personnel Committee and Unit Head some degree of flexibility in completing their work. However, the initial steps of the personnel process will typically follow the scheduling guidelines specified below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Contract Renewal, Tenure, Promotion to Associate Professor</th>
<th>Promotion to Professor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For promotion decisions, candidate notifies Unit Head and PC of intention to accept a nomination</td>
<td>By the last day of classes, Winter semester</td>
<td>By the last day of classes, Fall semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate provides current vita to Unit Head and PC</td>
<td>Prior to the start of Fall semester</td>
<td>By the last day of classes, Fall semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate selects Advocate (optional)</td>
<td>Prior to the start of Fall semester</td>
<td>Prior to the last 4 weeks of Winter semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of external letter writers</td>
<td>By the first day of classes, Fall semester</td>
<td>By the end of Winter semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative feedback from department members</td>
<td>During Fall semester, before the end of the 8th week of classes</td>
<td>During Winter semester, before spring break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom visitations, if necessary</td>
<td>During the 3rd through 10th weeks of Fall semester</td>
<td>During the 3rd through 11th weeks of Winter semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft integrative statement due / meeting with Personnel Committee (optional)</td>
<td>During the 11th and 12th weeks of Fall semester</td>
<td>During the last 4 weeks of Winter semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio and external letters due and made available</td>
<td>End of the 13th week of Fall semester</td>
<td>One week prior to the first day of classes, Fall semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps 9-20</td>
<td>First half of Winter semester, per CPC deadlines and other department commitments</td>
<td>First half of Fall semester, per CPC deadlines and other department commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative feedback between candidate and Personnel Committee (optional)</td>
<td>Second half of Winter semester, after each candidate’s unit meeting and vote.</td>
<td>Second half of Fall semester, after each candidate’s unit meeting and vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By the first day of each semester, the Personnel Committee will distribute detailed timelines with specific dates for each of the steps in the personnel process for each candidate under review. Steps 9-20 in the process will be scheduled based on College Personnel Committee (CPC) deadlines and other department business (such as meetings related to faculty searches). Whenever possible, candidates and department members will be given at least 2 business days to respond to requests for information (such as suggestions for Final Agendas or Unit Recommendation Reports) and to review relevant documents prior to unit discussion meetings. Exceptions to this rule will occur only if necessary to meet CPC deadlines.
Appendix B: Responsibilities Associated with the Personnel Process

Candidate: First and foremost, it is the candidate’s responsibility to present a complete and thorough case for the personnel decision under consideration. The candidate should document her or his qualifications (relevant to the personnel decision at hand) through a curriculum vitae, integrative statement, and portfolio; the candidate should also participate actively in the personnel process. The candidate is encouraged to make use of resources such as department and college personnel documents and guidelines, and to consult with the Personnel Committee, Unit Head, and other members of the department as needed.

Personnel Committee: The Personnel Committee conducts class visits, provides support and assistance to the candidate, and along with the candidate’s Advocate, provides oversight of the review process, including the development of each candidate’s Initial Agenda. Each member of the Personnel Committee is expected to thoroughly review all of the candidate’s materials and assist the Unit Head by providing suggested bullet points for the candidate’s Initial Agenda.

Personnel Committee Chair: Per department bylaws, the Personnel Committee Chair “is responsible for the review process, including scheduling meetings of the committee, preparing and distributing reports, and communication with the department.” In particular, the responsibilities of the Personnel Committee Chair include overseeing the schedule for all aspects of the personnel process and communicating with all involved parties (including the candidate, external letter writers, department members, members of the Personnel Committee, and the Unit Head). Although the Personnel Committee Chair does not conduct class visits, s/he will work with the Personnel Committee to schedule class visits and facilitate the committee’s review of drafts of the class visit reports.

Advocate: At the invitation of the candidate, an advocate participates with the Personnel Committee in the portions of the review process described above, including: (1) providing formative feedback to the candidate on the draft integrative statement; (2) participating in the Personnel Committee’s discussion and vote(s) pertaining to the candidate’s Draft Agenda; (3) if desired, submitting a dissent to the Initial Agenda; and (4) participating in the formative feedback exchange described in Step 21 above. To ensure meaningful and informed participation in the review process, advocates are expected to thoroughly review all of the candidate’s materials.

External Letter Writers: External letter writers provide information and their professional opinion about one or more relevant aspects of the candidate’s work, in light of department standards and expectations.

Unit Head: The Unit Head’s primary responsibilities include developing the agenda for each candidate’s unit meeting (as described in Steps 9-15 above), chairing the unit discussion meeting, and writing the Unit Recommendation Report. The Unit Head also ensures that all processes detailed in the Faculty Handbook are followed.

Department Members: Tenure-track members of the department have responsibilities at several points in the process. In addition to potentially serving as advocates and members of the Personnel Committee, all tenure-track faculty have the opportunity to give initial feedback to the candidate, as described in Step 2 of the process. Faculty also have the opportunity (and are strongly encouraged) to submit suggested bullet points for each candidate’s Initial Agenda. All tenure-track faculty are expected to read and respond to personnel communications from the Unit Head and Personnel Committee in a timely manner, especially those pertaining to the initial and final agendas. All tenure-track faculty are expected to carefully review each candidate’s vita, integrative statement, class visit reports, numerical student evaluation data, and external letters, as well as their initial and final agendas. In addition, faculty should seek additional information and/or clarification from the candidate’s materials whenever such information is necessary to ensure informed and responsible participation in the candidate’s review.
Appendix C: Confidentiality and Conflicts of Interest

Confidentiality. The personnel process involves candid discussion and evaluation of the candidate’s performance. In addition, a number of formal evaluation documents, such as letters from external letter writers, agendas, and the unit recommendation report, are created throughout the process. This appendix describes the policies of the Department of Mathematics with regard to the confidentiality of these materials.

- External letters are not considered confidential, and they will be included in their original form in the candidate’s portfolio.
- External letters will be destroyed when they are no longer necessary to the personnel process. For tenured faculty, letters will be retained until the personnel action under consideration has been fully resolved and accepted by the Board of Trustees. For untenured faculty, letters will be retained until the candidate’s tenure decision has been fully resolved.
- Unless otherwise specified, all work products pertaining to the personnel process, including preliminary drafts of class visit reports and initial agendas, are confidential and may be viewed only by the Personnel Committee and the Unit Head. At the discretion of the Personnel Committee, drafts of class visit reports may be shared with the candidate as part of the class visitation process. In addition, the Draft Agenda will be shared with the candidate’s Advocate as described in Steps 12 and 13 above.
- Suggestions for the Initial Agenda submitted by faculty and the Personnel Committee will be shared only with the Unit Head, Personnel Committee, and Advocate. Such suggestions will also be forwarded to the Dean as described in Step 21 above.

Conflicts of Interest. In the event that the Unit Head is under consideration for a personnel action, a designate shall be appointed to oversee the personnel process and carry out all personnel-related Unit Head responsibilities for the semester during which the Unit Head is evaluated. In addition, any member of the Personnel Committee who is under consideration for a personnel action (including the PC chair) will be excluded from all Personnel Committee discussions and deliberations pertaining to that member’s personnel action. In the event that the PC chair is under consideration for a personnel action, the PC will select a committee member to oversee the committee’s work with regard to the PC chair’s personnel action. In accordance with the Faculty Handbook (Section 2.10.6) faculty with conflicts of interest due to familial or business relationships, or due to denial of tenure or contract renewal, will be completely excused from all involvement and participation in the department’s personnel process.
## Appendix D: Mathematics Class Visit Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher:</th>
<th>Observer:</th>
<th>Date of lesson:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course:</th>
<th>Topic:</th>
<th>Course experience (# of times previously taught):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of the use and purpose of this form is provided on the last page.

**Lesson Preparation** (teacher, 1 paragraph):

**Lesson Summary** (observer, 1 page):

**Lesson Response** (observer, 1 paragraph):

**Lesson Reflection** (teacher, 1 paragraph):

*By signing below, I agree that my portions of this record accurately reflect my perception of the observation.*

______________________________
Teacher

______________________________
Observer
Description of the Mathematics Class Visit Record

As teaching is a primary responsibility of faculty, documenting a faculty member’s teaching is an important task. The Department of Mathematics views teaching as work that extends beyond the classroom and involves assessment, planning, and evaluation, as well as instruction. This class visit form strives to create an image of the teacher’s classroom as well as the work that surrounds it.

In the first section, the teacher writes a paragraph that describes his or her preparation for the lesson to be observed. This paragraph should include a listing of the objective(s) for the lesson along with a description of the teacher’s experience with the course and lesson. It may also include a description of how class activities were chosen or developed, or a short description of the reasoning behind the objective(s).

In the second section, the observer writes a brief summary (no more than one page) of the observed class. The focus of this summary is not to provide a transcript that conveys every detail of the class, but rather to capture the essence of the lesson, with selected details that help the reader understand the teacher’s classroom. In the summary, the observer may wish to comment on the mode of instruction, student-teacher communication, student-student interaction, student engagement, the teacher’s assessment techniques, and any other salient features of the class.

In the third section, the observer writes a one-paragraph response to the observation. In this paragraph, the observer reflects on what he or she thought was important or noteworthy about the class. This reflection may include questions, issues, praise, or commentary.

In the fourth and final section, the teacher writes a paragraph reflecting upon the observation. This paragraph may include consideration of the lesson objectives, reflection about what happened during instruction, explanation to assist the reader in understanding the lesson, or a response to what the observer has written.

The observation record is a collaboration between the observer and teacher for the purpose of communicating the teacher’s teaching, and the final form will be signed by both. Communication between the teacher and observer is encouraged throughout the process, in particular before and after the observation and in the final editing of the record. Observations for the personnel process are forwarded with the candidate’s materials and should strive to be both clear and concise.