

Referenced List of the Benefits of Woody Debris in Streams, and a List of the Negative Impacts of Indiscriminate Debris Removal

Below is a review of the documented benefits of having large woody debris in streams (and a few other miscellaneous facts), taken from the papers in my files. The best overview papers of the different roles woody debris plays in streams – pro and con – are probably Gregory and Davis, 1992 (especially Figure 1, p. 127), Gurnell et al., 1995, and Piégay and Gurnell, 1997.

Stream Channel Formation and Erosion

- **Stable woody debris increases the stability of a stream channel by absorbing the energy of flood flows, reducing water velocity at low and moderate flows, and reducing erosion of the stream bank and stream bed at both local and regional scales**
 - Abbe and Montgomery, 1996; Ehrman and Lamberti, 1992; Gurnell et al., 1995; Hygelund and Manga, 2003; Keller et al., 1995; Keller and Macdonald, 1995; Manga and Kirchner, 2000; Marston, 1982; Piégay and Gurnell, 1997; Smith et al., 1993a; Wallace et al., 1995
- **Stable woody debris affects channel form by slowing and directing flood flows and temporarily storing sediment**
 - Bilby, 1984; Diez et al., 2000; Gurnell et al., 1995; Keller and Swanson, 1979; Marston, 1982; Mutz, 2000; Piégay and Gurnell, 1997; Smith et al., 1993a; Thompson, 1995
- **Embedded woody debris (stumps rooted into the stream bank, logs stuck into the stream bed) reduce local bank erosion**
 - Keller and Swanson, 1979
- **Removing woody debris decreases critical shear stress and increases channel bed erosion (to maintain channel slope)**
 - Assani and Petit, 1995; Heede, 1985; Smith et al., 1993b
- **In larger natural streams, woody debris is usually oriented to the flow such that it does not reduce flow conveyance or increase flooding frequency.**
 - Gippel et al., 1996a
- **Water flow blockages may be reduced by rotating woody debris, rather than removing it (laboratory flume study)**
 - Young, 1991
- **Woody debris occupying less than about 10% of the stream channel width does not reduce flow conveyance (field study)**
 - Gippel et al., 1996b
- **Stream blockages as high as 50% cause only a 1% rise in base flow stage levels (laboratory flume study)**
 - Young, 1991
- **Stream blockages as high as 80% are required to significantly increase flood levels (laboratory flume study)**
 - Young, 1991

- **Woody debris higher in the water column causes less flow blockage than logs positioned along the bottom (laboratory flume study)**
 - Young, 1991
- **Stable woody debris can reduce erosion of exposed stream banks and channel bars by protecting developing thickets of riparian vegetation, for decades or even centuries**
 - Abbe and Montgomery, 1996; U.S. Forest Service, 1988

Fish

- **A greater variety of fish occur in streams with woody debris**
 - Montgomery and Piégay, 2003; Piégay and Gurnell, 1997; U.S. Forest Service, 1988; WAWRC, 2000
- **Woody debris causes the formation of good fish habitat such as pools, backwaters and fast-flowing chutes, in small to mid-size streams**
 - Andrus et al., 1988; Baillie and Davies, 2002; Beechie and Sibley, 1997; Bilby and Bisson, 1998; Bilby and Ward, 1991; Carlson et al., 1990; Collins and Montgomery, 2002; Gurnell et al., 1995; Hilderbrand et al., 1997; Hunt, 1993; Keller et al., 1995; Keller and Macdonald, 1995; Ralph et al., 1994; Richmond and Fausch, 1995; Tasmanian Inland Fisheries Service; U.S. Forest Service, 1988, 1989.
- **Woody debris provides fish “refuges” during floods**
 - Gurnell et al., 1995; McMahon and Hartman, 1989; U.S. Forest Service, 1988
- **Woody debris provides nursery habitat for juvenile fish**
 - U.S. Forest Service, 1984; U.S. Forest Service, 1988
- **Woody debris jams temporarily store sediment, reducing siltation of fish habitat like riffles and pools, and increasing the variety of stream habitats**
 - Bilby and Bisson, 1998; Keller et al., 1995; Keller and Macdonald, 1995; Keller and Swanson, 1979; U.S. Forest Service, 1988
- **Debris jams help streams retain organic materials (leaves, small sticks), which is food for macroinvertebrates and fish**
 - Bilby and Likens, 1980; Ehrman and Lamberti, 1992; Piégay and Gurnell, 1997
- **Large woody debris increases the diversity of habitat types in streams of all sizes**
 - Gurnell et al., 1995
- **Large pools containing pieces of woody debris contain more fish than pools without woody debris**
 - Flebbe, 1999.

Macroinvertebrates

- **Woody debris increases macroinvertebrate production and diversity**
 - O’Connor, 1991; Piégay and Gurnell, 1997; Smock et al., 1985; Smock et al., 1989; Smock et al., 1992

- **Woody debris provides shelter and food to macroinvertebrates, which in turn are important food for fish.**
 - Benke and Wallace, 1990; Bilby and Bisson, 1998; Gurnell et al., 1995; Hilderbrand et al., 1997; Piégay and Gurnell, 1997; Tasmanian Inland Fisheries Service Fact Sheet; U.S. Forest Service, 1984; Wallace and Benke, 1984; WAWRC, 2000
- **Decaying woody debris releases nutrients and organic materials into the stream that benefit macroinvertebrates**
 - Piégay and Gurnell, 1997; Smock et al., 1989; U.S. Forest Service, 1984; U.S. Forest Service, 1988

Negative Impacts of Indiscriminant Woody Debris Removal
(according to Gregory and Davis, 1992 [mostly], and others)

- Decreased stream channel stability
 - Increased downcutting
 - Increased scouring
 - Channel widening
 - Local bank erosion
- Decreased volume, depth and number of pools
- Increased stream velocity and shear stress
- Reduced capacity to retain and process organic matter (food for bugs and fish)
- Release of sediment from behind debris jams
- Reduced macroinvertebrate and fish production
- Reduced quality of fish habitat
 - Loss of winter cover
 - Loss of flood refuges
 - Loss of food organisms
 - Loss of juvenile fish rearing areas

References Cited:

Abbe, T., and D. Montgomery. 1996. Large woody debris jams, channel hydraulics and habitat formation in large rivers. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management* 12:201-221.

Andrus, C., B. Long, and H. Froehlich. 1988. Woody debris and its contribution to pool formation in a coastal stream 50 years after logging. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 45:2080-2086.

Assani, A., and F. Petit. 1995. Log-jam effects on bed-load mobility from experiments conducted in a small gravel-bed forest ditch. *Catena* 25:117-126.

- Baillie, B., and T. Davies. 2002. Influence of large woody debris on channel morphology in native forest and pine plantation streams in the Nelson Region, New Zealand. *New Zealand J. Marine and Freshwater Res.* 36:763-774.
- Beechie, T., and T. Sibley. 1997. Relationships between channel characteristics, woody debris, and fish habitat in Northwestern Washington Streams. *Trans. American Fisheries Soc.* 126:217-229.
- Benke, A., and J. Wallace. 1990. Wood dynamics in coastal plain blackwater streams. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 47:92-99.
- Bilby, R. 1984. Removal of woody debris may affect stream channel stability. *J. Forestry* 82:609-613.
- Bilby, R., and P. Bisson. 1998. Function and distribution of large woody debris. Chapter 13 (p. 324-346) in *River Ecology and Management – Lessons from the Pacific Coastal Region*. S. Kantor, ed. Springer, New York, NY. 705 pp.
- Bilby, R., and G. Likens. 1980. Importance of organic debris jams in the structure and function of stream ecosystems. *Ecology* 61:1107-1113.
- Bilby, R., and J. Ward. 1991. Characteristics and function of large woody debris in streams draining old-growth, clear-cut, and second-growth forests in southwestern Washington. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 48:2499-2508.
- Carlson, J., C. Andrus, and H. Froelich. 1990. Woody debris, channel features, and macroinvertebrates of streams with logged and undisturbed riparian timber in northeastern Oregon, U.S.A. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 47:1103-1111.
- Collins, B., and D. Montgomery. 2002. Forest development, wood jams, and restoration of floodplain rivers in the Puget Lowland, Washington. *Restoration Ecol.* 10:237-247.
- Diez, J., S. Larranaga, A. Elosegi, and J. Pozo. 2000. Effect of removal of woody debris on streambed stability and retention of organic matter. *J. N. American Benthological Soc.* 19:621-632.
- Ehrman, T., and G. Lamberti. 1992. Hydraulic and particulate matter retention in a 3rd-order Indiana stream. *J. N. American Benthological Soc.* 11:341-349.
- Flebbe, P. 1999. Trout use of woody debris and habitat in Wine Spring Creek, North Carolina. *Forest Ecology and Management* 114:367-376.
- Gippel, C., B. Finlayson, and I. O'Neill. 1996a. Distribution and hydraulic significance of large woody debris in a lowland Australian river. *Hydrobiologia* 318:179-194.

- Gippel, C., I. O'Neill, B. Finlayson, and I. Schnatz. 1996b. Hydraulic guidelines for the re-introduction and management of large woody debris in lowland rivers. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management* 12:223-236.
- Gregory, K., and R. Davis. 1992. Coarse woody debris in stream channels in relation to river channel management in woodland areas. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management* 7:117-136.
- Gurnell, A., K. Gregory, and G. Petts. 1994. The role of coarse woody debris in forest aquatic habitats: implications for management. *Aquat. Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 5:143-166.
- Heede, B. 1985. Channel adjustments to the removal of log steps: an experiment in a mountain stream. *Environ. Management* 9:427-432.
- Hilderbrand, R., A. Lemly, C. Dolloff, and K. Harpster. 1997. Effects of large woody debris placement on stream channels and benthic macroinvertebrates. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 54:931-939.
- Hunt, R. 1993. *Trout stream therapy*. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI. 74 pp.
- Hygelund, B. and M. Manga. 2003. Field measurements of drag coefficients for model large woody debris. *Geomorphology* 51:175-185.
- Keller, E., and A. Macdonald. 1995. River channel change: the role of large woody debris. Chapter 10 (p. 217-235) in *Changing River Channels*, edited by A. Gurnell and G. Petts. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY. 442 pp.
- Keller, E., A. Macdonald, T. Tally, and N. Merrit. 1995. *Effects of large organic debris on channel morphology and sediment storage in selected tributaries of Redwood Creek, Northwestern California*. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1454-P. 29 pp.
- Keller, E., and F. Swanson. 1979. Effects of large organic material on channel form and fluvial processes. *Earth Surface Processes* 4:361-380.
- Manga, M., and J. Kirchner. 2000. Stress partitioning in streams by large woody debris. *Water Resources Research* 36:2373-2379.
- Marston, R. 1982. The geomorphic significance of log steps in forest streams. *Annals of the Assoc. of American Geographers* 72:99-108.
- McMahon, T., and G. Hartman. 1989. Influence of cover complexity and current velocity on winter habitat use by juvenile coho salmon. *Can. J. Fisheries and Aquat. Sci.* 46:1551-1557.

- Montgomery, D., and H. Piégay. 2003. Wood in rivers: interactions with channel morphology and processes. *Geomorphology* 51:1-5.
- Mutz, M. 2000. Influences of woody debris on flow patterns and channel morphology in a low energy, sand-bed stream reach. *International Review of Hydrobiology* 85:107-121.
- O'Connor, N.A. 1991. The effects of habitat complexity on the macroinvertebrates colonizing wood substrates in a lowland stream. *Oecologia* 85:504-512.
- Piégay, H. and A. Gurnell. 1997. Large woody debris and river geomorphological pattern: examples from S.E. France and S. England. *Geomorphology* 19:99-116.
- Ralph, S., G. Poole, L. Conquest, and R. Naiman. 1994. Stream channel morphology and woody debris in logged and unlogged basins of western Washington. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 51:37-51.
- Richmond, A., and K. Fausch. 1995. Characteristics and function of large woody debris in subalpine Rocky Mountain streams in northern Colorado. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 52:1789-1802.
- Smith, R., R. Sidle, P. Porter, and J. Noel. 1993a. Effects of experimental removal of woody debris on the channel morphology of a forest, gravel-bed stream. *J. Hydrology* 152:153-178.
- Smith, R., R. Sidle, and P. Porter. 1993b. Effects on bedload transport of experimental removal of woody debris from a forest gravel-bed stream. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 18:455-468.
- Smock, L., E. Gilinsky, and D. Stoneburner. Macroinvertebrate production in a southeastern United States blackwater stream. *Ecology* 66:1491-1503.
- Smock, L., G. Metzler, and J. Gladden. 1989. Role of debris jams in the structure and function of low-gradient headwater streams. *Ecology* 70:764-775.
- Smock, L., J. Gladden, J. Riekenberg, L. Smith, and C. Black. 1992. Lotic macroinvertebrate production in three dimensions: channel surface, hyporheic, and floodplain environments. *Ecology* 73:876-886.
- Tasmanian Inland Fisheries Service. *Rivercare Management, Fish and Habitat Fact Sheet Number 5.*
http://www.ifc.tas.gov.au/Fact%20sheets%20for%20Rivercare/woody_debris.html
- Thompson, D. The effects of large organic debris on sediment processes and stream morphology in Vermont. *Geomorphology* 11:235-244.

U.S. Forest Service. 1984. *The seen and unseen world of the fallen tree*. General Technical Report PNW-164. 56 pp.

U.S. Forest Service. 1988. *From the forest to the sea: A story of fallen trees*. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-229. 153 pp.

U.S. Forest Service. 1989. *Coarse woody debris ecology in a second-growth Sequoia sempervirens forest stream*. General Technical Report PSW-GTR-110: 165-171.

Wallace, J., and A. Benke. 1984. Quantification of wood habitat in subtropical coastal plain streams. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 41:1643-1652.

Wallace, J., J. Webster, and J. Meyer. 1995. Influence of log additions on physical and biotic characteristics of a mountain stream. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 52:2120-2137.

WAWRC (Western Australia Water and Rivers Commission.) 2000. *Water Notes – the value of large woody debris (snags)*. 4 pp.

Young, W. 1991. Flume study of the hydraulic effects of large woody debris in lowland rivers. *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management* 6:203-211.

Also see the extensive annotated terrestrial and aquatic woody debris bibliography maintained by the U.C.-Berkeley Center for Forestry:
www.cnr.berkeley.edu/forestry/woodbiblio.html